arXiv:1409.5968v1 [math.CV] 21 Sep 2014

CLASSIFICATION OF HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS OF HYPERQUADRICS FROM $\mathbb{C}^2$ TO $\mathbb{C}^3$

MICHAEL REITER

Abstract. We give a new proof of Faran's and Lebl's results by means of a new CR-geometric approach and classify all holomorphic mappings from the sphere in $\mathbb{C}^2$ to Levi-nondegenerate hyperquadrics in $\mathbb{C}^3$. We use the tools developed by Lamel, which allow us to isolate and study the most interesting class of holomorphic mappings. This family of so-called nondegenerate and transversal maps we denote by $\mathcal{F}$. For $\mathcal{F}$ we introduce a subclass $\mathcal{N}$ of maps which are normalized with respect to the group $\mathcal{G}$ of automorphisms fixing a given point. With the techniques introduced by Baouendi–Ebenfelt–Rothschild and Lamel we classify all maps in $\mathcal{N}$. This intermediate result is crucial to obtain a complete classification of $\mathcal{F}$ by considering the transitive part of the automorphism group of the hyperquadrics.

Contents

1. Introduction and Results 2
2. Preliminaries 5
2.1. Automorphisms and Isotropic Equivalence 6
2.2. Setup 7
2.3. Biholomorphic Invariants of Mappings 7
2.4. Initial Classification and the Class $\mathcal{F}$ 9
3. Normal Form $\mathcal{N}$ for Mappings in $\mathcal{F}$ 10
4. Classification of Mappings in $\mathcal{N}$ 11
4.1. Jet Parametrization 14
4.2. Desingularization 15
4.3. Reduction to One-Parameter-Families of Mappings 18
4.4. Jet Determination 18
4.5. Isotropic Inequivalence of Mappings in $\mathcal{N}$ 19
5. Equivalence of Mappings in $\mathcal{N}$ 20
5.1. Mappings at Points of Different (Non-)Degeneracy 20
5.2. Renormalization 22
6. Proof of the Classification 24
Appendix A: Formula for Jet Parametrization 26
Appendix B: Case A and B 29
Appendix C: Formulas for ψ_k and $\widehat{\psi}_k$ 31
Appendix D: Standard Parameters 32
References 34

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32H02, 32V30.
The author was supported by the FWF, projects Y377 and I382, and QNRF, project NPRP 7-511-1-098.

1. Introduction and Results

Poincaré [Poi07] asked whether for two given real-analytic real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$ one can find holomorphic mappings sending one into the other. He also gave an intuitive answer, originally for biholomorphisms, that for two given arbitrary real-analytic hypersurfaces in general it is unlikely to find holomorphic mappings sending locally one hypersurface into the other.
Considerable work was done classifying Levi-nondegenerate hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{C}^N, N \geq 2$ up to biholomorphisms: In $\mathbb{C}^2$, this "biholomorphic equivalence problem" was solved by Cartan [Car33, Car32] and for $N \geq 2$ by Tanaka [Tan62] and Chern–Moser [CM74].
For the class of strictly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces Poincaré's question is answered by this classification of Levi-nondegenerate hypersurfaces and results by Pinčuk [Pin74] and Alexander [Ale74, Ale77]. They proved that any non-constant holomorphic self-mapping of a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^N$ is necessarily an automorphism. This implies that if we consider two biholomorphically equivalent strictly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces, then modulo the action of the automorphisms of the source and target hypersurface there is only one non-constant holomorphic map.

For $N' > N$ and a mapping $H : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N'}$ we refer to the number $N' - N$ as the *codimension* of H. If we consider holomorphic mappings of high codimension the situation changes drastically compared to the equidimensional case. Here models of Levi-nondegenerate hypersurfaces, i.e., hyperquadrics received a lot of attention. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \leq N$ we denote the *hyperquadric* $\mathbb{S}_k^N$ *of signature* $(k, N-k)$ in $\mathbb{C}^N$ by

$$\mathbb{S}_k^N := \big\{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : \ |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_k|^2 - |z_{k+1}|^2 - \dots - |z_N|^2 = 1\big\},$$

and write $\mathbb{S}^N := \mathbb{S}_N^N$ for the *sphere* in $\mathbb{C}^N$. While studying holomorphic mappings of hyperquadrics it is natural to introduce an equivalence relation for these mappings. We consider the homogeneous model $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_k^N$ of $\mathbb{S}_k^N$ given by

$$\hat{\mathbb{S}}_k^N := \big\{(z_1, \dots, z_N, t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} : \ |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_k|^2 - |z_{k+1}|^2 - \dots - |z_N|^2 - |t|^2 = 0\big\}.$$

Let us denote by $SU(N-k, k+1)$ the special unitary group with respect to the Hermitian form in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ with signature $(N-k, k+1)$ induced by the quadratic form which occurs in the definition of $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_k^N$. The group of automorphisms of $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_k^N$ is $SU(N-k, k+1)/K$, where K is the subgroup of $SU(N-k, k+1)$ consisting of diagonal matrices with all entries being equal to ζ a $(N+1)$-root of unity, see e.g. [CM74, §1] or [BER00, §2].
Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be an open neighborhood of $p \in \mathbb{S}_k^N$. Any holomorphic mapping $H : V \to \mathbb{C}^{N'}$ which satisfies $H(V \cap \mathbb{S}_k^N) \subset \mathbb{S}_{k'}^{N'}$ can be identified with a CR-mapping $\hat{H} : \hat{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{N'+1}$ for some open neighborhood $\hat{V}$ of $\hat{p} \in \hat{\mathbb{S}}_k^N$ satisfying $\hat{H}(\hat{V} \cap \hat{\mathbb{S}}_k^N) \subset \hat{\mathbb{S}}_{k'}^{N'}$. Following [Far82, §2] and [Leb11a, sections 3.4-3.5] we say that two holomorphic mappings H_1, H_2, which both satisfy $H_m : \mathbb{C}^N \supset V_m \to \mathbb{C}^{N'}$, where V_m is a neighborhood of $p_m \in \mathbb{S}_k^N$, such that $H_m(V_m \cap \mathbb{S}_k^N) \subset \mathbb{S}_{k'}^{N'}$ for $m = 1, 2$, are *equivalent* if there exist matrices $U \in SU(N-k, k+1)$ and $U' \in SU(N'-k', k'+1)$ such that $\hat{H}_2 = U' \circ \hat{H}_1 \circ U$.

If $N' \geq 2N$ D'Angelo [D'A88] showed that there exist infinitely many quadratic mappings from $\mathbb{S}^N$ to $\mathbb{S}^{N'}$ which are not equivalent. In low codimension the family of holomorphic mappings is less rich. Webster [Web79] proved that for holomorphic mappings between the spheres in $\mathbb{C}^N$ and $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, where $N \geq 3$, there is only one equivalence class, namely the one generated by the linear embedding. Faran [Far86] extended this result to holomorphic mappings of $\mathbb{S}^N$ to $\mathbb{S}^{N'}$ with $N \geq 3$ and $N' \leq 2N-2$, see also Huang [Hua99]. The case of mappings from $\mathbb{S}^N$ to $\mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ for $N \geq 3$ is treated by Huang–Ji [HJ01], where they showed that there exist two equivalence classes of mappings.

To study holomorphic mappings between hyperquadrics from $\mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{C}^3$ we introduce the hypersurface $\mathbb{S}^3_\varepsilon$, which for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ is given by

$$\mathbb{S}^3_\pm := \big\{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \ |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 \pm |z_3|^2 = 1\big\},$$

and we set $\mathbb{S}^3 = \mathbb{S}^3_+$. It is well known that $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{S}^3_\varepsilon$ are the only Levi-nondegenerate hyperquadrics in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$, respectively up to biholomorphisms.
Faran classified holomorphic mappings between balls in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$ with certain boundary regularity. Below we formulate the main result of Faran in terms of mappings between spheres disregarding regularity issues.

Theorem 1.1 ([Far82]). *Let $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be an open and connected neighborhood of p and $F : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ a non-constant holomorphic mapping satisfying $F(U \cap \mathbb{S}^2) \subset \mathbb{S}^3$. Then F is equivalent to exactly one of the following maps:*

(i) $F_1(z, w) = (z, w, 0)$
(ii) $F_2(z, w) = (z, zw, w^2)$
(iii) $F_3(z, w) = (z^2, \sqrt{2}zw, w^2)$
(iv) $F_4(z, w) = (z^3, \sqrt{3}zw, w^3)$

Faran's proof consists of giving a characterization of so-called planar maps from $\mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{C}^3$ which send complex lines to complex planes and uses Cartan's method of moving frames.
Cima–Suffridge [CS89] approached Faran's Theorem via a reflection principle deduced in [CS83] by the same authors, which contains some inconsistencies when using certain degeneracy conditions. Recently Ji [Ji10] gave a new proof of Faran's Theorem based on Huang's study [Hua99] of the Chern–Moser operator and several preceding articles [HJ01, Hua03, HJX06, CJX06]. In [Ji10] a small fixable mistake leads to a wrong mapping at the very end of the article.
More recently Lebl classified mappings sending $\mathbb{S}^2$ to $\mathbb{S}^3_-$, using a classification result for quadratic maps and Faran's approach:

Theorem 1.2 ([Leb11b]). *Let $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be an open and connected neighborhood of p and $L : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ a non-constant holomorphic mapping satisfying $L(U \cap \mathbb{S}^2) \subset \mathbb{S}^3_-$. Then L is equivalent to exactly one of the following maps:*

(i) $L_1(z, w) = (z, w, 0)$
(ii) $L_2(z, w) = \big(z^2, \sqrt{2}w, w^2\big)$
(iii) $L_3(z, w) = \Big(\frac{1}{z}, \frac{w^2}{z^2}, \frac{w}{z^2}\Big)$
(iv) $L_4(z, w) = \frac{\left(z^2+\sqrt{3}zw+w^2-z, w^2+z-\sqrt{3}w-1, z^2-\sqrt{3}zw+w^2-z\right)}{w^2+z+\sqrt{3}w-1}$
(v) $L_5(z, w) = \frac{\left(\sqrt[4]{2}(zw-\mathrm{i}\,z), w^2-\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,w+1, \sqrt[4]{2}(zw+\mathrm{i}\,z)\right)}{w^2+\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,w+1}$
(vi) $L_6(z, w) = \frac{\left(2w^3, z(z^2+3), \sqrt{3}w(z^2-1)\right)}{3z^2+1}$
(vii) $L_7(z, w) = \big(1, \ell(z, w), \ell(z, w)\big)$, *for an arbitrary non-constant holomorphic function* $\ell : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$

In this article we give a direct proof of both results of Faran and Lebl based on a very different and independent approach. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be an open and connected neighborhood of p and $H : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ a non-constant holomorphic mapping satisfying $H(U \cap \mathbb{S}^2) \subset \mathbb{S}^3_\varepsilon$. Then H is equivalent to exactly one of the following maps:*

(i) $H^\varepsilon_1(z, w) = (z, w, 0)$
(ii) $H^\varepsilon_2(z, w) = \Big(z^2, \frac{(1-\varepsilon+z(1+\varepsilon))w}{\sqrt{2}}, w^2\Big)$
(iii) $H^\varepsilon_3(z, w) = \Big(z, \frac{(1-\varepsilon+z^2(1+\varepsilon))w}{2z}, \frac{(1-\varepsilon+z(1+\varepsilon))w^2}{2z}\Big)$

(iv) $H_4^\varepsilon(z,w) = \frac{\left(4z^3, (3(1-\varepsilon)+(1+3\,\varepsilon)w^2)w, \sqrt{3}(1-\varepsilon+2(1+\varepsilon)w+(1-\varepsilon)w^2)z\right)}{1+3\,\varepsilon+3(1-\varepsilon)w^2}$

Additionally for $\varepsilon = -1$ *we have:*

(v) $H_5(z,w) = \left(\frac{(2+\sqrt{2}z)z}{1+\sqrt{2}z+w}, w, \frac{(1+\sqrt{2}z-w)z}{1+\sqrt{2}z+w}\right)$

(vi) $H_6(z,w) = \frac{\left((1-w)z, 1+w-w^2, (1+w)z\right)}{1-w-w^2}$

(vii) $H_7(z,w) = \big(1, h(z,w), h(z,w)\big)$ *for some non-constant holomorphic function* $h : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$

Further, H_3^- *is equivalent to* L_3, H_4^- *to* L_6, H_5 *to* L_4 *and* H_6 *to* L_5.

We would like to point out that one advantage of our chosen method is, that we prove Faran's and Lebl's result in a unified manner, i.e., we treat mapping from $\mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^3$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^3_-$ in the same way and use the same techniques for both situations.

Let us provide some details of our proof. First we reformulate the problem and study holomorphic mappings from $\mathbb{H}^2$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$, which are biholomorphic images of $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{S}^3_\varepsilon$ except one point and are given by

$$\mathbb{H}^2 = \big\{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \ \operatorname{Im} w = |z|^2\big\}, \qquad \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon = \big\{(z_1', z_2', w') \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \ \operatorname{Im} w' = |z_1'|^2 + \varepsilon\,|z_2'|^2\big\},$$

respectively and write $\mathbb{H}^3 = \mathbb{H}^3_+$. Further we set $\langle z, w\rangle_\varepsilon := z_1 w_1 + \varepsilon\, z_2 w_2$ and $|z|^2_\varepsilon := \langle z, \bar{z}\rangle_\varepsilon$. We introduce the class $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of germs of 2-nondegenerate transversal mappings, defined below in Definition 2.18. They form the most interesting class of mappings to study. In the first part of the proof we consider the action of isotropies, i.e., automorphisms fixing a given point, on $\mathcal{F}$ to provide a normal form $\mathcal{N}$ for $\mathcal{F}$. In a next step we give a classification of the mappings in $\mathcal{N}$. More precisely we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *The set* $\mathcal{N}$ *consists of explicitly given, rational mappings denoted by* $G_1^\varepsilon(z,w)$, $G_{2,s}^\varepsilon(z,w)$ *and* $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon(z,w)$, *where* $s \geq 0$. *The first two maps are of degree* 2, *the last one is of at most degree* 3. *Each map in* $\mathcal{N}$ *is not equivalent to any different map of* $\mathcal{N}$ *with respect to automorphisms fixing* 0.

This theorem, given in full details in Theorem 4.1 below, still gives infinitely many mappings under equivalence with respect to isotropies, but reduces the problem to a study of one-parameter families of rational mappings. The second part of the proof consists of studying the action of transitive automorphisms on mappings in $\mathcal{N}$. We choose certain values for s and define the following mappings:

$$\mathcal{G}_1^\varepsilon(z,w) := G_{2,0}^\varepsilon(z,w), \qquad \mathcal{G}_2^\varepsilon(z,w) := G_{2,1/2}^\varepsilon(z,w), \qquad \mathcal{G}_3^\varepsilon(z,w) := G_{2,1}^\varepsilon(z,w), \qquad \mathcal{G}_4^\varepsilon(z,w) := G_{3,0}^\varepsilon(z,w). \tag{1.1}$$

Under the equivalence with respect to transitive automorphisms and isotropies we reduce the quotient space of $\mathcal{F}$ under automorphisms to a finite set of classes of mappings. More details on the equivalence relation we use can be found in Definition 5.1 below. We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *For* $m = 2, 3$ *and* $1 \leq k \leq 4$ *let* $G_{m,s}^\varepsilon$ *be as in Theorem 1.4 and* $\mathcal{G}_k^\varepsilon$ *as in* (1.1). *For* $\varepsilon = +1$ *we have:*

(i) *For every* $s \geq 0$ *the mapping* $G_{2,s}^+$ *is equivalent to* $\mathcal{G}_1^+$.

(ii) *For every* $s \geq 0$ *the mapping* $G_{3,s}^+$ *is equivalent to* $\mathcal{G}_4^+$.

For $\varepsilon = -1$ *we have:*

(iii) *For every* $0 \leq s < \frac{1}{2}$ *the mapping* $G_{2,s}^-$ *is equivalent to* $\mathcal{G}_1^-$.

(iv) *For every* $s > \frac{1}{2}$ *the mapping* $G_{2,s}^-$ *is equivalent to* $\mathcal{G}_3^-$.

(v) *For every* $0 \leq s \neq \frac{1}{2}$ *the mapping* $G_{3,s}^-$ *is equivalent to* $\mathcal{G}_4^-$ *and* $G_{3,1/2}^- = \mathcal{G}_2^-$.

From our chosen approach and our careful study of the action of automorphisms on mappings we obtain in some sense computational effectiveness. More precisely our technique allows us to give explicit formulas for the automorphisms which bring an arbitrary mapping to one of the mappings listed in Theorem 1.3. Thus we think we provide a new proof of Faran's and Lebl's results which is, in some sense, easier to verify and more elementary. Nevertheless our proof is long, technical and features some nontrivial computations partly carried out with *Mathematica 7.0.1.0* [Wol08].

The very last part of the proof of Theorem 1.3 shows that the quotient space of $\mathcal{F}$ under automorphisms indeed consists of the classes of mappings from the previous theorem. More precisely we provide a list of biholomorphic invariants associated to each mapping of Theorem 1.5 to show that the maps listed in Theorem 1.3 are not equivalent to each other.

We organize this work as follows: In section 2 we give most of the relevant definitions and introduce all biholomorphic invariants we use in order to obtain a class $\mathcal{F}$. For this class of mappings, we compute a normal form in section 3 and obtain $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{F}$, the set of normalized mappings with respect to the stability groups. For $\mathcal{N}$ we compute a jet parametrization in section 4 and after a so-called desingularization it turns out that $\mathcal{N}$ consists of one separated mapping and two one-parameter families of mappings. In section 5 we use the transitive automorphisms to show that $\mathcal{F}$ consists of finitely many orbits of maps. Finally we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 in section 6. This article is partly based on the author's thesis [Rei14] at the University of Vienna.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. We fix coordinates $(z, w) = (z_1, \dots, z_n, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

(i) Let $h : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function given by $h(z,w) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} a_{\alpha\beta} z^\alpha w^\beta$ defined near 0. We write $\bar{h}(\bar{z}, \bar{w}) := \overline{h(z,w)} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{z}^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta$ for the complex conjugate of h. For derivatives of h with respect to z or w we write $h_{z^\alpha w^\beta}(0) := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} h}{z^\alpha w^\beta}(0)$. For $n \geq 1$ and a mapping $H : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{n'+1}$ defined near 0 with components $H = \big(f_1, \dots, f_{n'}, g\big)$ we write $H_{z^\alpha w^\beta}(0) = \big(f_{1 z^\alpha w^\beta}(0), \dots, f_{n' z^\alpha w^\beta}(0), g_{z^\alpha w^\beta}(0)\big)$.

(ii) For $H = (f_1, \dots, f_{n'}, g)$ a holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n'+1}$ near 0 we denote

$$\Delta(\alpha_1, \beta_1; \dots; \alpha_{n'}, \beta_{n'}) := \begin{vmatrix} f_{1 z^{\alpha_1} w^{\beta_1}}(0) & \cdots & f_{1 z^{\alpha_{n'}} w^{\beta_{n'}}}(0) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_{n' z^{\alpha_1} w^{\beta_1}}(0) & \cdots & f_{n' z^{\alpha_{n'}} w^{\beta_{n'}}}(0) \end{vmatrix}.$$

(iii) Let $H : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{n'+1}$ be a mapping defined at $p \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. We denote by $j^k_p H$ the *k-jet of H at p* defined as

$$j^k_p H := \left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} H}{\partial Z^\alpha}(p) : |\alpha| \leq k \right).$$

We denote by J^k_p the collection of all k-jets at p. We write $J^k_p(M, p; M', p')$ for the collection of all k-jets at p of mappings, which send $(M, p) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, p)$ to $(M', p') \subset (\mathbb{C}^{N'}, p')$.

(iv) For a rational, holomorphic mapping $H : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N'}$ given by $H = (P_1, \dots, P_{N'})/Q$, where $P_1, \dots, P_{N'}$ and Q are polynomial and complex-valued we say H is *reduced* if $P_1, \dots, P_{N'}$ and Q do not possess any common factor. Then the degree $\deg H$ of a reduced rational map H is defined as $\deg H := \max\big((\deg P_k)_{k=1,\dots,N'}, \deg Q\big)$.

2.1. **Automorphisms and Isotropic Equivalence.**

Definition 2.2. We denote the collection of locally real-analytic CR-diffeomorphisms of $(M,0)$ by $\mathrm{Aut}(M,0) \coloneqq \{H : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0) \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : H \text{ holomorphic}, H(M) \subset M, \det(H'(0)) \neq 0\}$ and the group of *isotropies* or *stability group* of $(M,0)$ by $\mathrm{Aut}_0(M,0) \coloneqq \{H \in \mathrm{Aut}(M,0) : H(0) = 0\}$.

Definition 2.3. (i) We write $\mathbb{R}^+ \coloneqq \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \ x > 0\}$, denote the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}$ by $\mathbb{S}^1 \coloneqq \{e^{\mathrm{i}\,t} : 0 \leq t < 2\pi\}$ and set $\Gamma \coloneqq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C}$. Then we parametrize $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^2,0)$ via Γ and write for $\gamma = (\lambda, r, u, c) \in \Gamma$:

$$\sigma_\gamma(z,w) \coloneqq \frac{(\lambda u(z + cw), \lambda^2 w)}{1 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{c}z + (r - \mathrm{i}\,|c|^2)w}. \tag{2.1}$$

(ii) For $p = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ we introduce the following mappings which form the so-called *translations of* $\mathbb{H}^2$:

$$t_p : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2, \quad t_p(z,w) \coloneqq (z + p_1, w + p_2 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{p}_1 z). \tag{2.2}$$

(iii) We define $\mathcal{S}^2_{\varepsilon,\sigma} \coloneqq \left\{a' = (a'_1, a'_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |a'_1|^2 + \varepsilon\,|a'_2|^2 = \sigma\right\}$ where $\sigma = \pm 1$ if $\varepsilon = -1$ and $\sigma = +1$ if $\varepsilon = +1$ and let

$$U' \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} u'a'_1 & -\,\varepsilon\,u'a'_2 \\ \bar{a}'_2 & \bar{a}'_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad u' \in \mathbb{S}^1, \quad a' = (a'_1, a'_2) \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\varepsilon,\sigma}. \tag{2.3}$$

We set $\Gamma' \coloneqq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathcal{S}^2_{\varepsilon,\sigma} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ to parametrize $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon, 0)$ via Γ' and write for $\gamma' = (\lambda', r', u', a', c') \in \Gamma'$:

$$\sigma'_{\gamma'}(z',w') \coloneqq \frac{(\lambda' U'\ {}^t(z' + c'w'), \sigma\lambda'^2 w')}{1 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\langle \bar{c}', z'\rangle_\varepsilon + \left(r' - \mathrm{i}\,|c'|^2_\varepsilon\right)w'}. \tag{2.4}$$

(iv) For $p' = (p'_1, p'_2, p'_3) \in \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ we define the following mapping, which we call a *translation of* $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$:

$$t'_{p'} : \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon, \quad t'_{p'}(z',w') \coloneqq \left(z'_1 - p'_1, z'_2 - p'_2, w' - \bar{p}'_3 - 2\,\mathrm{i}(\bar{p}'_1 z'_1 + \varepsilon\,\bar{p}'_2 z'_2)\right). \tag{2.5}$$

(v) We write $\mathcal{G} \coloneqq \mathrm{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^2,0) \times \mathrm{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon, 0)$ for the direct product of the isotropy groups of $\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$.

Remark 2.4. If we set $\varepsilon = -1$ and take $a'_1 = 0$ and $a'_2 = u' = 1$ in (2.3) we obtain the following automorphism π' of $\mathbb{H}^3_-$:

$$\pi'(z'_1, z'_2, w') \coloneqq (z'_2, z'_1, -w'). \tag{2.6}$$

If we do not mention otherwise we take $\sigma = +1$ in the definition of $\sigma'_{\gamma'}$ and use π' separately.

Remark 2.5. Let us write M for either $\mathbb{H}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$. We note that since the automorphisms given in (2.1)–(2.5) generate $\mathrm{Aut}(M,0)$, we immediately obtain that if we let $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(M,0)$, then there exists a unique translation t and isotropy σ of $(M,0)$ such that $\phi = t \circ \sigma$.

Definition 2.6. Let $G, H : (\mathbb{H}^2,0) \to (\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon, 0)$ be germs of holomorphic mappings. We let $(\gamma,\gamma') \in \Gamma \times \Gamma'$ to define $H_{\gamma,\gamma'}(z,w) \coloneqq \left(\sigma'_{\gamma'} \circ H \circ \sigma_\gamma\right)(z,w)$ and $O_0(H) \coloneqq \left\{H_{\gamma,\gamma'} : (\gamma,\gamma') \in \Gamma \times \Gamma'\right\}$, which we call the *isotropic orbit* of H. We say G is *isotropically equivalent* to H if $G \in O_0(H)$. We will refer to the elements of $\Gamma \times \Gamma'$ as *standard parameters*. In the case where we take standard parameters $(\gamma,\gamma') \in \Gamma \times \Gamma'$ such that $(\sigma_\gamma, \sigma'_{\gamma'}) = (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^3})$, we say the standard parameters are *trivial*.

2.2. **Setup.**

Definition 2.7. We define the following biholomorphism $T_N : \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{z_1 = -1\} \to \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{z_N = -\mathrm{i}\}$:

$$T_N(z_1, \dots, z_N) \coloneqq \Big(z_2, \dots, z_N, \mathrm{i}(1 - z_1)\Big)/(1 + z_1), \tag{2.7}$$

with inverse

$$T_N^{-1}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \Big(1 + \mathrm{i}\, w_N, 2w_1, \dots, 2w_{N-1}\Big)/(1 - \mathrm{i}\, w_N). \tag{2.8}$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{S}_k^N$ and decompose $\mathbb{C}^N = \mathbb{C}q \oplus q^\perp$, where $q^\perp \coloneqq \{v \in \mathbb{C}^N : \langle q, \bar{v}\rangle_k = 0\}$ and $\langle ., .\rangle_k$ denotes the real bilinear form in $\mathbb{C}^N$ induced by the quadratic form defining $\mathbb{S}_k^N$. Let H be a mapping as in the assumption of Theorem 1.3 with $H(p) = p' \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^3$. Then we decompose $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$ with respect to p and p' as described above, such that $p = (1, 0)$ and $H(1, 0) = (1, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^3$. We consider $\hat{H} \coloneqq T_3 \circ H \circ T_2^{-1}$, where we possibly need to shrink U to avoid the poles of T_2^{-1} and T_3 respectively. Moreover in these coordinates $\hat{H}$ satisfies $\hat{H}(0) = 0$ and $\hat{H}(U \cap \mathbb{H}^2) \subset \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^3$. Thus $\hat{H} = (f_1, f_2, g)$ has to satisfy the *mapping equation*:

$$\operatorname{Im}\big(g(z, w)\big) = |f_1(z, w)|^2 + \varepsilon\, |f_2(z, w)|^2, \tag{2.9}$$

if $\operatorname{Im} w = |z|^2$ for $(z, w) \in U$. In order to work with such an equation in a more convenient way we complexify (2.9) by setting $\chi \coloneqq \bar{z}$ and $\tau \coloneqq \bar{w}$ to obtain the *complexified mapping equation*:

$$g(z, \tau + 2\,\mathrm{i}\, z\chi) - \bar{g}(\chi, \tau) = 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(f_1(z, \tau + 2\,\mathrm{i}\, z\chi)\bar{f}_1(\chi, \tau) + \varepsilon\, f_2(z, \tau + 2\,\mathrm{i}\, z\chi)\bar{f}_2(\chi, \tau)\Big), \tag{2.10}$$

which holds for all $(z, \chi, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ sufficiently close to 0. If we evaluate (2.10) at $(z, \chi, \tau) = (z, 0, 0)$ we obtain $g(z, 0) = 0$. Moreover differentiating (2.10) with respect to z and χ and evaluating the result at 0 we have

$$g_w(0) = |f_{1z}(0)|^2 + \varepsilon\, |f_{2z}(0)|^2, \tag{2.11}$$

which implies $g_w(0) \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.3. **Biholomorphic Invariants of Mappings.**

2.3.1. *Transversality of Mappings.* This section is devoted to introduce a well-known first-order biholomorphic invariant for mappings.

Definition 2.8. Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ and $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{N'}$ be real-analytic real hypersurfaces and $U \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a neighborhood of $p \in M$. A holomorphic mapping $H : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N'}$ with $H(U \cap M) \subset M'$ is called *transversal to M' at $H(p)$* if

$$T_{H(p)}M' + dH(T_p\mathbb{C}^N) = T_{H(p)}\mathbb{C}^{N'}. \tag{2.12}$$

Proposition 2.9. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be an open, connected neighborhood of 0 and $H : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ a non-constant holomorphic mapping with components $H = (f_1, f_2, g)$ satisfying $H(0) = 0$ and $H(U \cap \mathbb{H}^2) \subset \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^3$. Then we have the following two mutually exclusive statements:*

(i) *H is transversal to $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^3$ outside a proper, real-analytic subset X of $U \cap \mathbb{H}^2$. If H is transversal to $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^3$ at 0 we can assume $g_w(0) \neq 0$.*

(ii) *The mapping satisfies $H(U) \subset \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^3$.*

Furthermore (ii) can only happen if $\varepsilon = -1$.

Proof. The statements in (i) and (ii) are proved in [BER07, Theorem 1.1] in more generality and the second statement in (i) is proved in [ER06, Theorem 5.2]. Next we assume that (ii) holds for $\varepsilon = +1$, such that

$$g(z, w) - \bar{g}(\chi, \tau) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\big(f_1(z, w)\bar{f}_1(\chi, \tau) + f_2(z, w)\bar{f}_2(\chi, \tau)\big) = 0,$$

for all $(z,w,\chi,\tau)\in\mathbb{C}^4$ near 0. Setting $\chi=\tau=0$ we obtain $g(z,w)=0$, which immediately implies $(f_1,f_2)\equiv 0$ if we set $\chi=\bar{z}$ and $\tau=\bar{w}$, thus H is constant, which we excluded. □

Remark 2.10. In view of (2.12) it is easy to observe that transversality is invariant under biholomorphic changes of coordinates.

Remark 2.11. One can show that H is transversal to M' at $H(p)$ if and only if there exists a holomorphic function $A:(\mathbb{C}^{2N+2},p)\to\mathbb{C}$ such that $\rho'\big(H(Z),\bar{H}(\zeta)\big)=A(Z,\zeta)\rho(Z,\zeta)$, for ρ,ρ' defining functions for M and M' respectively and $A(p,\bar{p})\neq 0$. $(Z,\zeta)\in\mathbb{C}^{2N}$ denote coordinates for the complexification of M. The set $\{q\in M: A(q,\bar{q})=0\}$ defines a proper, real-analytic subset of M and hence we say H *is transversal to* M' *outside a proper, real-analytic subset of* M if H is transversal to M' at $H(p)$ for some $p\in M$. Otherwise if $A(p,\bar{p})=0$ for $p\in U\cap M$ we have that H is not transversal at $H(p)$.

Remark 2.12. Proposition 2.9 (i) together with (2.11) shows that a transversal mapping H from $\mathbb{H}^2$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ is immersive.

2.3.2. *Degeneracy of Mappings.* The next biholomorphic invariant we need is the well-known (finite) degeneracy for mappings. This invariant was used by among others Faran [Far82], Cima–Suffridge [CS83] and Forstnerič [For89] to extend proper holomorphic mappings, which are smooth up to the boundary of their domain, holomorphically past the boundary. This section is based on [Lam01, Section 2.5].

Definition 2.13. Let $M\subset\mathbb{C}^N$ and $M'\subset\mathbb{C}^{N'}$ be generic, real-analytic submanifolds of codimension d and d' respectively and denote $n:=N-d$ and $n':=N'-d'$. For $p\in M, p'\in M'$ and $U\subset\mathbb{C}^N$ a neighborhood of p we let $H:U\to\mathbb{C}^{N'}$ be a holomorphic mapping satisfying $H(U\cap M)\subset M'$. We choose coordinates Z and Z' centered at p and p' for M and M' respectively. In the complexification of M and M' we write $\zeta:=\bar{Z}$ and $\zeta':=\bar{Z}'$. For $\rho'=(\rho'_1,\dots,\rho'_{d'})$ a defining function for M' near p' we denote for $1\leq j\leq d'$ the complex gradient $\rho'_{j,Z'}(Z',\bar{Z}')$ of ρ'_j with respect to Z' by defining $\rho'_{j,Z'}(Z',\zeta'):=\Big(\frac{\partial\rho'_j(Z',\zeta')}{\partial z'_1},\dots,\frac{\partial\rho'(Z',\zeta')}{\partial z'_{N'}}\Big)$. For $L_1,\dots,L_n$ a basis of CR-vector fields for M near p and $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$ we denote $L^\alpha:=L_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots L_n^{\alpha_n}$. Then we define for $k\geq 0$ and $q\in M$ near p the following vector spaces after possibly shrinking U:

$$E'_k(q):=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{L^\alpha\rho'_{j,Z'}\big(H(Z),\bar{H}(\zeta)\big)\Big|_{(Z,\zeta)=(q,\bar{q})}:0\leq|\alpha|\leq k,1\leq j\leq d'\right\}\subset\mathbb{C}^{N'}.$$

Since for $k\geq 0$ the $E'_k(q)$ form an ascending chain of vector spaces in $\mathbb{C}^{N'}$, there exists a minimal $k_0\geq 0$ such that $E'_k(p)=E'_{k_0}(p)$ for all $k\geq k_0$ and $E'_{k_0-1}(p)\subsetneq E'_{k_0}(p)$ for $p\in M$ in a neighborhood of $q\in M$. The number $s(q):=N'-\dim_{\mathbb{C}}E'_{k_0}(q)$ is called the *degeneracy* of H at q and H is called $\big(k_0,s(q)\big)$*-degenerate* at $q\in M$. If $s=s(p)$ is constant for $p\in M$ in a neighborhood of $q\in M$ we say H is *constantly* (k_0,s)*-degenerate* near $q\in M$ and s is called *constant degeneracy* of H. If for some $q\in M$ we have $s(q)=0$, then $E'_{k_0}(q)=\mathbb{C}^{N'}$ which means that H is of constant degeneracy $s=0$ near q and H is called k_0*-nondegenerate*.

Remark 2.14. In [Lam01, Section 2.3] it is shown that Definition 2.13 is independent of the choices of a basis of CR-vector fields, the defining function and holomorphic coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^N$ and $\mathbb{C}^{N'}$.

Definition 2.15. Let $M\subset\mathbb{C}^N$ and $M'\subset\mathbb{C}^{N'}$ be generic, real-analytic submanifolds and $U\subset\mathbb{C}^N$ be a neighborhood of $p\in M$. Let $H:U\to\mathbb{C}^{N'}$ be a holomorphic mapping satisfying $H(U\cap M)\subset M'$ and fix $V\subset U$ a neighborhood of $p\in M$ such that $\overline{V\cap M}\subset U$. The number $s_H(V):=\min\{s(q):q\in\overline{V\cap M}\}$ is called *generic degeneracy for* H in $V\subset\mathbb{C}^N$ a neighborhood of $p\in M$.

By [Lam01, Lemma 22] it follows that H is constantly $\big(k_0, s_H(V)\big)$-degenerate outside a proper, real-analytic subset of $V \cap M \subset U$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, hence if we take a smaller neighborhood $W \subset V$ in Definition 2.15 then $s_H(V) = s_H(W)$. We skip the argument in $s_H(V)$ and write s_H from now on. Next we obtain bounds for the generic degeneracy s_H and k_0 adapted to our setting.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a neighborhood of $p \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and $H : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ a holomorphic mapping with components $H = (f_1, f_2, g)$ and $H(U \cap \mathbb{H}^2) \subset \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ which is transversal to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ outside a proper real-analytic subset of $\mathbb{H}^2$. There exists a proper, real-analytic subset X of $U \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ such that after shrinking U and performing a change of coordinates in $U \setminus X$ the following two mutually exclusive statements hold:*

(i) *H is 2-nondegenerate, such that $f_{1z}(0)f_{2z^2}(0) - f_{2z}(0)f_{1z^2}(0) \neq 0$.*
(ii) *H is constantly $(1,1)$-degenerate.*

Proof. By [Lam01, Lemma 22] we have (k_0, s_H)-degeneracy outside a proper, real-analytic subset of $\mathbb{H}^2$. By Remark 2.10 and Remark 2.14 after a change of coordinates we assume that 0 is a point where H is constantly (k_0, s_H)-degenerate and transversal to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$. This change of coordinates is performed via composing H with translations such that 0 gets mapped to a point q where H is constantly (k_0, s_H)-degenerate and transversal to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$, i.e., we consider the mapping $t'_{H(q)} \circ H \circ t_q$ from (2.2) and (2.5) instead of H. At this point it is possible that we need to shrink U. Then we apply [Lam01, Lemma 23–24] to obtain the desired result. □

Remark 2.17. We let $H = (f_1, f_2, g)$ be as in Proposition 2.16. According to Definition 2.13 and formula (25) in [Lam01, Section 2.3] we note that the set N of points in $\mathbb{H}^2$, where H is not 2-nondegenerate, is given by $N = \big\{p \in \mathbb{H}^2 : Lf_1(p)L^2f_2(p) - Lf_2(p)L^2f_1(p) = 0\big\}$, where L is a basis of CR-vector fields for $\mathbb{H}^2$.

2.4. Initial Classification and the Class $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.18. For a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ of 0 let us denote the set $\mathcal{F}(U)$ of holomorphic mappings $H = (f_1, f_2, g)$ with $H(U \cap \mathbb{H}^2) \subset \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$, which satisfy $H(0) = 0$,

$$\Delta(1,0;2,0) = f_{1z}(0)f_{2z^2}(0) - f_{2z}(0)f_{1z^2}(0) \neq 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad g_w(0) > 0. \tag{2.13}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of germs H, such that $H \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ for some neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ of 0.

Proposition 2.19. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be an open and connected neighborhood of 0 and $H : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ a non-constant holomorphic mapping given by $H = (f_1, f_2, g)$ with $H(U \cap \mathbb{H}^2) \subset \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ and $H(0) = 0$. Then, after possibly shrinking U, changing coordinates or composing H with automorphisms, one of the following mutually exclusive statements holds:*

(i) *H is transversal to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ and 2-nondegenerate at 0 and we can assume that $H \in \mathcal{F}$.*
(ii) *H is equal to the linear embedding $(z, w) \mapsto (z, 0, w)$.*
(iii) *For $\varepsilon = -1$: H is a mapping of the form $(z, w) \mapsto (h(z, w), h(z, w), 0)$ for some non-constant holomorphic function $h : U \to \mathbb{C}$ with $h(0) = 0$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition 2.9 to obtain that either H is transversal to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ outside a proper, real-analytic set of $U \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ or for $\varepsilon = -1$ we have H maps a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ of 0 to $\mathbb{H}^3_-$. We assume the first condition for H and apply Proposition 2.16 to obtain that after possibly composing H with translations that H is transversal to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ at 0 and either 2-nondegenerate or constantly $(1,1)$-degenerate near 0. By Proposition 2.9 (i) we can assume that $g_w(0) \neq 0$. For $\varepsilon = +1$ by (2.11) we immediately have $g_w(0) > 0$. If $\varepsilon = -1$ and we have $g_w(0) < 0$ we compose H with the automorphism π' from (2.6).
If we assume H is transversal to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ at 0 and 2-nondegenerate near 0, we immediately obtain (i) by Proposition 2.16 (i).

If we assume H is transversal to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ at 0 and $(1,1)$-degenerate near 0 we either refer to [Rei14, Chapter 7] or we apply [ES10, Theorem 1.1], which implies that the image of H is contained in a 2-dimensional complex hyperplane. From Remark 2.12 we know that H is immersive, hence after a change of coordinates we may assume that $H = (f, 0, g)$ where $(z, w) \to \big(f(z, w), g(z, w)\big)$ is a biholomorphism from $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. Since H maps $\mathbb{H}^2$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ and fixes 0 we conclude that H is an isotropy, hence (ii) follows.
To finish the proof we need to treat the case if $\varepsilon = -1$ and H maps a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_-$. Here the following mapping equation holds for all $(z, w, \chi, \tau) \in W$ for some neighborhood $W \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ of 0:

$$g(z, w) - \bar{g}(\chi, \tau) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\big(f_1(z, w)\bar{f}_1(\chi, \tau) - f_2(z, w)\bar{f}_2(\chi, \tau)\big) = 0.$$

Setting $\chi = \tau = 0$ we obtain $g(z, w) = 0$ such that the above equation reduces to $|f_1(z, w)|^2 = |f_2(z, w)|^2$. Next we apply [D'A93, Chapter 3, Proposition 3] and an automorphism of $\mathbb{H}^3_-$ of the form $(z'_1, z'_2, w') \mapsto (z'_1, uz'_2, w')$ with $|u| = 1$ to $(z, w) \mapsto (f(z, w), 0)$, such that the image of H is contained in the complex variety given by $\big\{(z'_1, z'_2, w') \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z'_1 = z'_2, w' = 0\big\}$. Thus H is equivalent to the map $(z, w) \mapsto (h(z, w), h(z, w), 0)$ for some non-constant holomorphic function $h : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ with $h(0) = 0$. □

3. Normal Form $\mathcal{N}$ for Mappings in $\mathcal{F}$

Note that the conditions (2.13) which define the class $\mathcal{F}$ are preserved if we apply isotropies fixing 0 to a map in $\mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $H \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there exist isotropies $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\widehat{H} \coloneqq \sigma' \circ H \circ \sigma$ satisfies $\widehat{H}(0) = 0$ and the following conditions:*

(i) $\widehat{H}_z(0) = (1, 0, 0)$
(ii) $\widehat{H}_w(0) = (0, 0, 1)$
(iii) $\widehat{f}_{2z^2}(0) = 2$
(iv) $\widehat{f}_{2zw}(0) = 0$
(v) $\widehat{f}_{1w^2}(0) = |\widehat{f}_{1w^2}(0)| \geq 0$
(vi) $\mathrm{Re}\big(\widehat{g}_{w^2}(0)\big) = 0$
(vii) $\mathrm{Re}\big(\widehat{f}_{2z^2w}(0)\big) = 0$

Definition 3.2. We refer to the equations and inequalities given in Proposition 3.1 as *normalization conditions*. A holomorphic mapping of $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the normalization conditions is called a *normalized mapping*. The set of normalized mappings is denoted by $\mathcal{N}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We consider $\widehat{H} \coloneqq \sigma' \circ H \circ \sigma$, where we use all standard parameters in σ and σ' with the notation of (2.1) and (2.4). Then we compute the coefficients of $\widehat{H}$ we want to normalize and solve the resulting equations for the standard parameters. The first equations are the following, where we take the 2×2-matrix U' as in (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{H}_z(0) &= \big(u\lambda\lambda' U'^t(f_{1z}(0), f_{2z}(0)), 0\big) = \big(1, 0, 0\big), \\
\widehat{H}_w(0) &= \left(u\lambda\lambda' U' \begin{pmatrix} c'_1\lambda g_w(0) + \lambda f_{1w}(0) + cuf_{1z}(0) \\ c'_2\lambda g_w(0) + \lambda f_{2w}(0) + cuf_{2z}(0) \end{pmatrix}, \lambda^2\lambda'^2 g_w(0)\right) = \ (0, 0, 1),
\end{aligned}$$

which can be solved using (2.11) by

$$a'_1 = \frac{\bar{f}_{1\chi}(0)}{uu'|f_z(0)|_\varepsilon}, \qquad a'_2 = -\frac{\bar{f}_{2\chi}(0)}{uu'|f_z(0)|_\varepsilon},$$

such that $a' = (a'_1, a'_2) \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$ and by

$$c'_1 = \frac{-cuf_{1z}(0) - \lambda f_{1w}(0)}{\lambda g_w(0)}, \qquad c'_2 = \frac{-cuf_{2z}(0) - \lambda f_{2w}(0)}{\lambda g_w(0)}, \qquad \lambda' = \frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{g_w(0)}},$$

since we require $\lambda, g_w(0) > 0$. Then we use (2.11) as well as the formulas for the standard parameters for $a', c' = (c_1', c_2')$ and λ' to obtain the following equation:

$$\widehat{f}_{2zw}(0) = \frac{u^2u'\lambda}{g_w(0)^2}\Big(cug_w(0)\Delta(1,0;2,0) + \lambda\big(g_{zw}(0)\Delta(0,1;1,0) + g_w(0)\Delta(1,0;1,1)\big)\Big) = 0,$$

which has a unique solution $c \in \mathbb{C}$ by (2.13) and is given by

$$c = -\frac{\lambda\big(g_{zw}(0)\Delta(0,1;1,0) + g_w(0)\Delta(1,0;1,1)\big)}{ug_w(0)\Delta(1,0;2,0)}.$$

Then using the representations for a', λ' and equation (2.11):

$$\widehat{f}_{2z^2}(0) = \frac{u^3u'\lambda\Delta(1,0;2,0)}{|f_z(0)|_\varepsilon^2} = 2,$$

the solution is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{2|f_z(0)|_\varepsilon^2}{|\Delta(1,0;2,0)|}, \qquad u' = \frac{|\Delta(1,0;2,0)|}{u^3\Delta(1,0;2,0)}, \tag{3.1}$$

since $\Delta(1,0;2,0) \neq 0$. Then, using all the previously deduced standard parameters, we compute $\widehat{f}_{1w^2}(0) = T_1\big(j_0^2H\big)/u$, where $T_1\big(j_0^2H\big) \in \mathbb{C}$ is a real-analytic function in j_0^2H, which does not depend on u. Thus there is a u with $|u| = 1$, such that $\widehat{f}_{1w^2}(0) = |\widehat{f}_{1w^2}(0)| \geq 0$. Finally we consider the following coefficients, where $\lambda > 0$ is given by (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Re}\big(\widehat{g}_{w^2}(0)\big) &= -2r - 2r'\lambda^2 g_w(0) + T_2\big(j_0^2H\big) = 0,\\ \operatorname{Re}\big(\widehat{f}_{2z^2w}(0)\big) &= -2r - r'\lambda^2 g_w(0) + T_3\big(j_0^3H\big) = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $T_2\big(j_0^2H\big), T_3\big(j_0^3H\big) \in \mathbb{R}$ are real-analytic functions in j_0^2H and j_0^3H respectively and both do not depend on r or r'. Thus we can uniquely solve for the real parameters r and r'. □

Remark 3.3. Further inspection of T_3 in (3.2) shows that the coefficients of H at 0 of order 3 occurring in T_3 are $f_{z^3}(0)$ and $H_{z^2w}(0)$.

Remark 3.4. If we assume $H \in \mathcal{N}$, then by inspecting (2.10) we obtain the following conditions for some coefficients belonging to the 3-jet of H at 0.

(i) $H(0) = (0,0,0)$
(ii) $H_z(0) = (1,0,0)$
(iii) $H_w(0) = (0,0,1)$
(iv) $H_{z^2}(0) = (0,2,0)$
(v) $H_{zw}(0) = (\frac{\mathrm{i}\varepsilon}{2}, 0, 0)$
(vi) $H_{w^2}(0) = (|f_{1w^2}(0)|, f_{2w^2}(0), 0)$
(vii) $H_{z^3}(0) = (0, 12\,\varepsilon\,|f_{1w^2}(0)|, 0)$
(viii) $H_{z^2w}(0) = (4\,\mathrm{i}\,|f_{1w^2}(0)|, \mathrm{i}\operatorname{Im}(f_{2z^2w}(0)), 0)$
(ix) $H_{zw^2}(0) = \big(\frac{1}{4}\big(-1 + 2\operatorname{Re}(g_{w^3}(0))\big), f_{2zw^2}(0), 2\,\mathrm{i}\,|f_{1w^2}(0)|\big)$

4. Classification of Mappings in $\mathcal{N}$

Let us state the explicit version of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 4.1. *The set $\mathcal{N}$ consists of the following mappings, where for $H=(f_1,f_2,g)\in\mathcal{N}$ we denote the parameter $s:=2f_{1w^2}(0)\geq 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1^\varepsilon(z,w) &:= \Big(2z(2+\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w),4z^2,4w\Big)/(4-w^2),\\
G_{2,s}^\varepsilon(z,w) &:= \Big(4z-4\,\varepsilon\,sz^2+\mathrm{i}(\varepsilon-s^2)zw+sw^2,4z^2+s^2w^2,w(4-4\,\varepsilon\,sz-\mathrm{i}(\varepsilon+s^2)w)\Big)\\
&\quad /\Big(4-4\,\varepsilon\,sz-\mathrm{i}(\varepsilon+s^2)w-2\,\mathrm{i}\,szw-\varepsilon\,s^2w^2\Big),\\
G_{3,s}^\varepsilon(z,w) &:= \Big(256\,\varepsilon\,z+96\,\mathrm{i}\,zw+64\,\varepsilon\,sw^2+64z^3+64\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,sz^2w-3(3\,\varepsilon-16s^2)zw^2+4\,\mathrm{i}\,sw^3,\\
&\quad 256\,\varepsilon\,z^2-16w^2+256sz^3+16\,\mathrm{i}\,z^2w-16\,\varepsilon\,szw^2-\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w^3,\\
&\quad w(256\,\varepsilon-32\,\mathrm{i}\,w+64z^2-64\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,szw-(\varepsilon+16s^2)w^2)\Big)/\Big(256\,\varepsilon-32\,\mathrm{i}\,w+64z^2\\
&\quad -192\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,szw-(17\,\varepsilon+144s^2)w^2+32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,z^2w+24szw^2+\mathrm{i}\,w^3\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Each mapping in $\mathcal{N}$ is not isotropically equivalent to any different mapping in $\mathcal{N}$.

The family of mappings $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon$ in Theorem 4.1 is not of degree 3 for each $s\geq 0$: If we set $\varepsilon=-1$ and $s=1/2$ in $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon$ the denominator and the numerator of each component is divisible by $16\,\mathrm{i}-8\,\mathrm{i}\,z+w$, resulting in a mapping of degree 2, which coincides with $G_{2,1/2}^-$. The following lemma shows that this is the only possibility.

Lemma 4.2. *The mapping $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon$ from Theorem 4.1 is of degree 2 if and only if $\varepsilon=-1$ and $s=1/2$ in $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon$.*

Proof. The necessary direction can be verified directly. The other direction is proved as follows: We let H denote an arbitrary rational mapping of degree 2 with $H(0)=0$ defined in a sufficiently small neighborhood $U\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ of 0. We require H to be holomorphic in U. Then H is of the form $H=(p_1,p_2,p_3)/q$, where for $1\leq j\leq 3$ the terms p_j and q are polynomials of degree 2 given by $p_j(z,w)=a_jz+b_jw+c_jz^2+d_jzw+e_jw^2$ and $q(z,w)=1+a_4z+b_4w+c_4z^2+d_4zw+e_4w^2$, where each element of $\Lambda_m:=\{a_m,b_m,c_m,d_m,e_m\}$ is a complex number for $1\leq m\leq 4$. We denote by Λ the collection of all Λ_m. If we compare the 3-jets of H and $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon$ and solve for the elements of Λ we obtain

$$H(z,w)=\frac{\big(16z-16s\,\varepsilon\,z^2+5\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,zw+4sw^2,16z^2-\varepsilon\,w^2,w\big(16-16\,\varepsilon\,sz-3\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w\big)\big)}{16+16\,\varepsilon\,sz+3\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w+8\,\mathrm{i}\,szw+(1+8\,\varepsilon\,s^2)w^2}.$$

Comparing the $f_{1z^3w}(0)$-coefficients of H and $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon$ we find a solution if and only if $\varepsilon=-1$ and $s=1/2$. Then we observe with these choices the mapping H coincides with $G_{3,1/2}^-$. □

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is based on the following lemmas. First we state them and then we show how Theorem 4.1 is deduced from these lemmas. Afterwards we provide the proofs of these lemmas.
In the first lemma we obtain a so-called *jet parametrization* for $H\in\mathcal{N}$ at 0 along the second Segre set. In order to simplify our formulas we introduce the following notation:

$$A_{k\ell}:=f_{1z^kw^\ell}(0),\quad B_{k\ell}:=f_{2z^kw^\ell}(0),\quad C_{k\ell}:=g_{z^kw^\ell}(0),\quad D_\ell:=D_{0\ell},$$

for $k,\ell\geq 0$ and $D\in\{A,B,C\}$. In the list of coefficients of a mapping $H\in\mathcal{F}$ we gave in Remark 3.4, there are still some unknown coefficients belonging to J_0^4. These remaining coefficients we denote by

$$j:=(A_2,B_2,B_{21},B_{12},A_3,B_3,C_3,A_{22},B_{22},C_{22},A_{13},B_{13},C_{13},A_4,B_4,C_4)\,. \tag{4.1}$$

We refer to the coefficients $D_{k\ell}$ we listed in (4.1) as components of j. We take $N_0 := 16$ and define the following set:

$$J := \big\{ j \in \mathbb{C}^{N_0} : A_2 \geq 0, C_3 \in \mathbb{R}, B_{21} \in \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{R} \big\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_0}. \tag{4.2}$$

We consider j from (4.1) as variable for $J \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_0}$. The following lemma is based on [Lam01, Proposition 25, Corollary 26–27].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $H \in \mathcal{N}$. Then there exists an explicitly computable, rational mapping Ψ satisfying*

$$H(z, 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi) = \Psi\big(z, \chi, j\big) \tag{4.3}$$

for all $(z,\chi) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ sufficiently near 0. The formula for Ψ is given in Appendix A, where we scaled $j \in J$ for simplification.

Remark 4.4. In order to compute Ψ in Lemma 4.3 we only need to assume the nondegeneracy of H, but to simplify expressions we require $H \in \mathcal{N}$.

The approach we take in the next lemmas follows the line of thought of [BER97, Proposition 2.11–3.1,§6].

Lemma 4.5. *Let $H \in \mathcal{N}$ and Ψ be given as in Lemma 4.3. If $\psi(z,w) := \Psi\big(z, w/(2\,\mathrm{i}\,z), j\big)$ is holomorphic for $(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ near 0 and $j_0^4\psi = j_0^4 H$, then $\psi \in \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_5\}$ is of at most degree 3 and depends on $A_2, B_2, B_{21}, A_{22}, B_{22}$ and C_{22} satisfying $A_2 \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(B_{21}) = 0$, whenever these parameters are present in ψ. The concrete formulas for $(\psi_k)_{k=1,\dots,5}$ are listed in Appendix C.*

Lemma 4.6. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 and $\psi \in \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_5\}$ from Lemma 4.5 satisfies $\psi(U \cap \mathbb{H}^2) \subset \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$. Then $\psi \in \{G^\varepsilon_1, G^\varepsilon_{2,s}, G^\varepsilon_{3,s}\}$ from Theorem 4.1, where $s := A_2 \geq 0$.*

Next we describe how to prove Theorem 4.1 from the previously stated lemmas.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $H \in \mathcal{N}$ and $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0. We write $\rho(z,w,\chi,\tau) := w - \tau - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi$ for a defining function of the complexification of $\mathbb{H}^2$. The second Segre set $\mathcal{S}^2_0$ of $\mathbb{H}^2$ at 0 is given as the image of $v^2_0(z,\chi) := (z, 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi)$, for $(z,\chi) \in U$. Since v^2_0 is of rank 2 outside of the complex variety $X := \big\{(z,\chi) \in U : z = 0\big\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$, it follows that $\mathcal{S}^2_0$ contains an open set $V \subset U \setminus X$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$. From Lemma 4.3 we know after scaling the variable $j \in J$ from (4.1), that

$$H\big(v^2_0(z,\chi)\big) = \Psi(z,\chi,j) = \sum_{k,\ell} \Psi_{k\ell}(j) z^k \chi^\ell, \tag{4.4}$$

holds, where we have written Ψ in the Taylor expansion with coefficients $\Psi_{k\ell}(j) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ depending on $j \in J$. Then for $(z,w) \in V$ we have:

$$H(z,w) = H\left(v^2_0\left(z, \frac{w}{2\,\mathrm{i}\,z}\right)\right) = \Psi\left(z, \frac{w}{2\,\mathrm{i}\,z}, j\right) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \widehat{\Psi}_{\alpha\beta}(j) z^\alpha w^\beta, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\widehat{\Psi}_{\alpha\beta}(j) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. The left-hand side of (4.5) is required to be holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0, thus (4.5) yields equations $\widehat{\Psi}_{\alpha\beta}(j) = 0$ for $\alpha < 0$ or equivalently we obtain $\Psi_{k\ell}(j) = 0$ for $\ell > k$ by (4.4). We examine these equations for j in the proof of Lemma 4.5 to end up with $\Psi\big(z, w/(2\,\mathrm{i}\,z), j\big)$ being one of 5 holomorphic mappings $\widehat{\psi}_1(z,w), \dots, \widehat{\psi}_5(z,w)$, defined in a neighborhood of 0 and given in Appendix C. Moreover (4.5) can only hold if $j_0^4 H(z,w) = j_0^4 \Psi\big(z, w/(2\,\mathrm{i}\,z), j\big) = j_0^4 \widehat{\psi}_k(z,w)$ for each $1 \leq k \leq 5$. We carry out these computations in the last part of the proof of Lemma 4.5, which yield H being one of the holomorphic mappings $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_5$

according to Lemma 4.5 listed in Appendix C. Since we require H being a mapping of $\mathbb{H}^2$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ and j was an arbitrary variable in J so far, we have to ensure ψ_k sends $\mathbb{H}^2$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ for $1 \leq k \leq 5$. This last step is carried out in Lemma 4.6 and we end up with the mappings $G^\varepsilon_1, G^\varepsilon_{2,s}$ and $G^\varepsilon_{3,s}$ as claimed in Theorem 4.1, where $s = 2f_{1w^2}(0)$. The last claim, that the maps we listed in Theorem 4.1 are not isotropically equivalent is proved in Theorem 4.9 below. □

4.1. **Jet Parametrization.**

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We need to carry out the following steps: From the mapping equation we can determine H along the germ of the second Segre set $\mathcal{S}^2_0$ of $\mathbb{H}^2$ near 0 in terms of the 2-jet of H evaluated along the germ of the conjugated version of the first Segre set $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^1_0 = \{(\chi, 0) : \chi \in \mathbb{C}\}$ of $\mathbb{H}^2$ near 0. In a similar way we obtain formulas for the 2-jet of H along $\mathcal{S}^1_0$ depending on $j \in J$. In both steps it is essential to assume 2-nondegeneracy. The resulting representation of H gives the desired mappings Ψ depending on j. Now we give the detailed version of the proof. We let ρ' be a defining function for $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ and $L \coloneqq \frac{\partial}{\partial\chi} - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}$ a basis of CR-vector fields of the complexification of $\mathbb{H}^2$. We compute $\Phi_{r+1}(z,w,\chi,\tau) \coloneqq L^r\rho'\big(H(z,w),\bar{H}(\chi,\tau)\big)$ for $0 \leq r \leq 2$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1(z,w,\chi,\tau) &\coloneqq g(z,w) - \bar{g}(\chi,\tau) - 2\,\mathrm{i}(f_1(z,w)\bar{f}_1(\chi,\tau) + \varepsilon\, f_2(z,w)\bar{f}_2(\chi,\tau)),\\
\Phi_2(z,w,\chi,\tau) &\coloneqq -L\bar{g}(\chi,\tau) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(f_1(z,w)L\bar{f}_1(\chi,\tau) + \varepsilon\, f_2(z,w)L\bar{f}_2(\chi,\tau)\Big),\\
\Phi_3(z,w,\chi,\tau) &\coloneqq -L^2\bar{g}(\chi,\tau) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(f_1(z,w)L^2\bar{f}_1(\chi,\tau) + \varepsilon\, f_2(z,w)L^2\bar{f}_2(\chi,\tau)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

We introduce the following variables for expressions which occur in Φ_j for $1 \leq j \leq 3$:

$$(Z,\zeta) \coloneqq (z,w,\chi,\tau), \quad (Z',\zeta') \coloneqq \big(H(z,w),\bar{H}(\chi,\tau)\big), \quad W \coloneqq \left(\frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial\zeta^\beta}\bar{H}(\chi,\tau)\right)_{1\leq|\beta|\leq 2}.$$

By a slight abuse of notation we obtain $\Phi_j(Z,\zeta,Z',\zeta',W) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$ when restricted to $\mathbb{H}^2$, i.e., setting $Z = (z, \tau + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi)$. Further if we write $\Phi \coloneqq (\Phi_1,\Phi_2,\Phi_3)$ we have

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial Z'}(0)\right) = \varepsilon\Big(\bar{f}_{2\chi}(0)\bar{f}_{1\chi^2}(0) - \bar{f}_{1\chi}(0)\bar{f}_{2\chi^2}(0)\Big) = -\,\varepsilon \neq 0,$$

since we assumed $H \in \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Hence we can explicitly solve the system given in (4.6) for Z' near 0 as follows. First we denote

$$B(z,\chi,\tau) \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \bar{f}_1(\chi,\tau) & \varepsilon\,\bar{f}_2(\chi,\tau) & -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \\ L\bar{f}_1(\chi,\tau) & \varepsilon\,L\bar{f}_2(\chi,\tau) & 0 \\ L^2\bar{f}_1(\chi,\tau) & \varepsilon\,L^2\bar{f}_2(\chi,\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we obtain for all $(z,\chi,\tau) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ near 0 the following identity

$$H(z,\tau + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi) = -\frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{i}}B^{-1}(z,\chi,\tau)\ {}^t(\bar{g}(\chi,\tau), L\bar{g}(\chi,\tau), L^2\bar{g}(\chi,\tau)). \tag{4.7}$$

If we evaluate (4.7) at $\tau = 0$ we obtain a formula for H along $\mathcal{S}^2_0$ depending on the 2-jet of $\bar{H}$ along $\bar{\mathcal{S}}^1_0$. So to finish our computations we need to find formulas for $j^2_{(\chi,0)}\bar{H}$. To this end we introduce the vector field S tangent to $\mathbb{H}^2$ defined as $S \coloneqq \partial/\partial w + \partial/\partial\tau$, such that $S^kH(z,\tau + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi) = H_{w^k}(z,\tau + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying S and S^2 to (4.7) and setting $\chi = \tau = 0$ we obtain formulas for $H_w(z,0)$ and $H_{w^2}(z,0)$ respectively, which are rational and depend on $j \in J$. After conjugating these expressions we obtain the components of $j^2_{(\chi,0)}\bar{H}$ as

rational functions of j. The resulting mapping is denoted by Ψ and depends on $j \in J$. In order to get rid of powers of 2 in formulas we scale j as follows:

$$(A_2, B_2, B_{12}, A_3, B_3, C_3, A_{22}, B_{22}, A_{13}, B_{13}, C_{13}, A_4, B_4, C_4) \mapsto \\ \left(\frac{A_2}{2}, \frac{B_2}{2}, \frac{B_{12}}{4}, \frac{A_3}{4}, \frac{B_3}{4}, \frac{C_3}{2}, \frac{A_{22}}{2}, \frac{B_{22}}{2}, \frac{A_{13}}{8}, \frac{B_{13}}{8}, \frac{C_{13}}{4}, \frac{A_4}{8}, \frac{B_4}{8}, \frac{C_4}{4}\right).$$

The numerator of the components of H are polynomials of highest degree $(3, 8)$ in (z, χ) and are homogeneous in z. The components of H have the same denominator, which is a polynomial of highest degree $(3, 9)$ in (z, χ). The complete expression is listed in Appendix A. □

4.2. **Desingularization.** We introduce the following relation:

Definition 4.7. For $J_1, J_2 \subset J$ from (4.2) we denote variables $j_1 \in J_1$ and $j_2 \in J_2$ as in (4.1) respectively. We set $\Psi_1(z, \chi) := \Psi(z, \chi, j_1)$ and $\Psi_2(z, \chi) := \Psi(z, \chi, j_2)$, where Ψ is given in Lemma 4.3. We say that Ψ_1 is a *special case* of Ψ_2, if $J_1 \subset J_2$.

More geometrically this means that the variety given by the defining equations for Ψ_1 is contained in the variety generated by the defining equations for Ψ_2.

Proof of Lemma 4.5. As described in the proof of Theorem 4.1, in (4.4) we expand the mapping $\Psi\big(z, \chi, j\big)$ from (4.3) into a power series $\Psi(z, \chi, j) = \sum_{k,\ell} \Psi_{k\ell}(j) z^k \chi^\ell$ around 0. For the components we write $\Psi_{k\ell}(j) = \Big(\Psi^1_{k\ell}(j), \Psi^2_{k\ell}(j), \Psi^3_{k\ell}(j)\Big)$ and we set

$$\Psi_{k\ell}(j) = 0, \qquad \forall\ \ell > k, \tag{4.8}$$

according to (4.4). These equations allow us to obtain conditions for $j \in J$. Each solution of an equation from (4.8) corresponds to considering maps as in (4.3), but instead $j \in J$ we have $j \in J'$, where J' is a subvariety of J. This means that we gradually restrict the space of possible mappings in $\mathcal{F}$. In the following we describe which coefficients $\Psi_{k\ell}$ we consider and which components of j we can eliminate from equations given as in (4.8).
We start considering $\Psi^3_{34} = \Psi^1_{34} = \Psi^3_{45} = \Psi^1_{45} = 0$, which determine the following components of j:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\Big(6A_2^3 + 3\,\varepsilon\, B_{12} - A_2\big(6B_2 + \varepsilon(-3 + C_3)\big)\Big) \\
B_3 &= \frac{\varepsilon}{10}\big(-18\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, A_2^4 + 15\,\mathrm{i}\, A_2 B_{12} - 2B_2\big(9\,\varepsilon\, B_{21} - 4\,\mathrm{i}(3 - C_3)\big) \\
&\quad - 3\,\mathrm{i}\, A_2^2(3 - 6\,\varepsilon\, B_2 + 6\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, B_{21} - C_3)\Big) \\
A_4 &= \frac{\varepsilon}{5}\Big(-324\,\varepsilon\, A_2^5 - 15A_2^2(-\varepsilon\, A_{22} + 2B_{12} + \varepsilon\, C_{13}) + 5\big(-3\,\varepsilon\, A_{22}B_2 + \mathrm{i}\, B_{13} \\
&\quad + B_{12}\big(-6\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21} + \varepsilon(-6 + C_3)\big) + 3\,\varepsilon\, B_2 C_{13}\big) + A_2\big(-5\,\mathrm{i}\, A_{13} + 30\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21} + 10\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21}C_3 \\
&\quad - 5\,\varepsilon(6B_{21}^2 + (-5 + C_3)C_3) + 5\,\mathrm{i}\, C_4 + 3B_2(44 + 48\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, B_{21} - 18C_3 + 15\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22})\big) \\
&\quad + 3A_2^3(-34 + 108\,\varepsilon\, B_2 - 28\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, B_{21} + 28C_3 - 15\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22})\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_4 = \frac{\varepsilon}{20}\Big(&3060\,\varepsilon\,A_2^6 - 45\,\varepsilon\,B_{12}^2 + 2B_2\big(-40\,\mathrm{i}\,A_{13} + 102\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}^2 + 5\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21}(-33 + 23C_3)\\
&+ \varepsilon(-42 - 30B_{22} + 78C_3 - 28C_3^2) + 40\,\mathrm{i}\,C_4\big) + 180A_2^3(-\varepsilon\,A_{22} + B_{12} + \varepsilon\,C_{13})\\
&+ 20A_2\big(9\,\varepsilon\,A_{22}B_2 + \mathrm{i}\,B_{13} + 6\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{12}B_{21} + 2\,\varepsilon\,B_{12}C_3 - 3B_2(B_{12} + 3\,\varepsilon\,C_{13})\big)\\
&+ A_2^2\big(60\,\mathrm{i}\,A_{13} + 900\,\varepsilon\,B_2^2 + 150\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21} - 290\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21}C_3 + \varepsilon(9 - 24B_{21}^2 + 60B_{22}\\
&- 106C_3 + 61C_3^2) - 60\,\mathrm{i}\,C_4 + 12B_2(-79 - 117\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} + 63C_3 - 65\,\mathrm{i}\,C_{22})\big)\\
&- 12A_2^4(-69 + 330\,\varepsilon\,B_2 - 97\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} + 73C_3 - 45\,\mathrm{i}\,C_{22}) + 240\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2^2C_{22}\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we consider $\Psi_{34}^2 = 0$ to obtain two cases, either

(i) Case A: $B_{12} = \frac{2\,\varepsilon\,A_2}{5}\Big(6A_2^2 + 5B_2 + 6\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21} + \varepsilon(3 - C_3)\Big)$, or

(ii) Case B: $B_2 = A_2^2$.

Next we assume one of the expressions for B_{12} or B_2 respectively for Ψ and consider another equation from (4.8) in order to solve for further components of j in terms of the remaining elements. It turns out that each of the remaining equations of the system given in (4.8) has more than one possible solution, resulting in case distinctions. In Appendix B we give two diagrams of this elimination process for case A and case B respectively. In these diagrams we keep track of all the equations $\Psi_{k\ell}(j) = 0$ we consider, which components of j we are able to determine and which holomorphic expressions we obtain in the end. Now we describe the diagrams in a more detailed way:

Let us write $\gamma := (A_2, C_3, B_{21}, C_4, A_{13}, B_{13}, C_{13}, A_{22}, B_{22}, C_{22})$. In case A Ψ still depends on the variables γ and B_2 and in case B Ψ depends on the variables γ and B_{12}. Since both cases are treated in the same way we write Λ for the set of the remaining variables in Ψ with components denoted by $(D_1, \ldots, D_{11})$.

Inductively we consider equations $\Psi_{k\ell}^j = 0$ to determine further variables $D_{m_1}, \ldots, D_{m_n} \in \Lambda$, where $1 \le m_j \le 11$ for $1 \le j \le n$. Each variable D_{m_j} which we solved for corresponds to a case E_{rs_i}. It turns out that we have $0 \le r \le 7$ and $1 \le s_i \le 13$, where $r = 0$ corresponds to the starting node from case A or B. The notation for E_{rs_i} is chosen such that the first index r indicates the number of nodes one has to pass in order to get from the starting node, i.e., case A or case B from above, to E_{rs_i}.

Let us denote by E some already achieved case, starting with case A or case B. In the diagram such an induction step is displayed as in the following Figure 1:



FIGURE 1. Diagram for new cases

Now we take all parameters from the preceding cases of E_{rs_i}, plug them into Ψ and denote the resulting rational mapping by $\varphi(z, \chi)$. Then we have several possibilities:

(i) If $\varphi(z, \frac{w}{2\,\mathrm{i}\,z})$ is holomorphic near 0 we do not consider further equations. Then we have the possibility that φ is a special case of a holomorphic mapping φ' from some other

case, which is indicated in Figure 2 or φ is not a special case of any of the occurring mappings in the diagrams, which is indicated in Figure 3.



FIGURE 2. Diagram for special cases of holomorphic maps



FIGURE 3. Diagram for new holomorphic maps

(ii) If $\varphi(z, \frac{w}{2\,\mathrm{i}\,z})$ is not holomorphic, we either proceed with another induction step as shown in Figure 1 or we recognize that the mapping φ is a special case of a mapping φ'' from some case $E_{r''s''_i}$. We indicate this situation as $E_{rs_i} \subset E_{r''s''_i}$, which is shown in the following Figure 4.



FIGURE 4. Diagram for special cases of maps

The complete case distinction is carried out in Appendix B, where we denote the cases E_{rs_i} by "Ars_i" and "Brs_i" for case A and B respectively. As mentioned above after at most 7 steps the process terminates, which means, that after setting $\chi = \frac{w}{2\,\mathrm{i}\,z}$ in $\Psi(z, \chi, j)$ we obtain a holomorphic expression. It turns out that we obtain 5 rational, holomorphic mappings, which we denote by $\widehat{\psi}_k(z, w)$ for $1 \le k \le 5$, as can be seen in the diagrams and is indicated in Figure 3. We point out that these mappings include all $H \in \mathcal{N}$ by construction. The formulas for $\widehat{\psi}_k$ are given in Appendix C.

We write $\widehat{\psi}_k = (\widehat{\psi}^1_k, \widehat{\psi}^2_k, \widehat{\psi}^3_k)$ and proceed by verifying $j^4_0\widehat{\psi}_k = j^4_0 H$. Whenever we have expressed one component of j in terms of the remaining components we use this expression for the subsequent equations.

First we treat $\widehat{\psi}_1$ and consider $\widehat{\psi}^3_{1w^3}(0) = C_3/2$ to obtain $C_3 = 3(1 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21})$. Next we consider $\widehat{\psi}^1_{1z^2w^2}(0) = A_{22}/2$ to get $C_{13} = 3A_{22}/2$. Then we inspect $\widehat{\psi}^2_{1z^2w^2}(0) = \frac{B_{22}}{2}$ which gives $C_4 = A_{13} + 18B_{21} - 6\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon(1 - B^2_{21})$. Verifying the normalization conditions we obtain $\mathrm{Re}(B_{21}) = 0$ and we end up with the mapping ψ_1 as claimed, which still depends on B_{21}, A_{22}, B_{22} and C_{22} and is given in Appendix C. For $\widehat{\psi}_2$ we start with considering $\widehat{\psi}^1_{2zw^2}(0) = \frac{A_{13}}{8}$ to obtain

$$A_{13} = -10B_{21} + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon(4 + B_{22}) + C_4 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_2(A_{22} - C_{13}) + 2A^2_2(6\,\mathrm{i} - C_{22}),$$

such that $\widehat{\psi}_2$ is independent of B_{22} and C_4. Then we compute $\widehat{\psi}^3_{2zw^3}(0) = \frac{C_{13}}{4}$ to get

$$C_{13} = \frac{3}{2}\Big(A_{22} + A_2\big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21} + \varepsilon(4 - \mathrm{i}\,C_{22})\big)\Big).$$

The rest of the coefficients are already in the correct form and the normalization conditions give $A_2 \ge 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(B_{21}) = 0$. The resulting mapping is denoted by ψ_2, depends on A_2, B_{21}, A_{22} and

C_{22} and is given in Appendix C. The maps $\widehat{\psi}_k$ for $k = 3, 4, 5$ already satisfy $j_0^4\widehat{\psi}_k = j_0^4 H$ and by verifying the normalization conditions we obtain for $k = 3, 5$ that $A_2 \geq 0$ and additionally for $k = 3$ that $\mathrm{Re}(B_{21}) = 0$. Finally we denote $\psi_k = \widehat{\psi}_k$ for $k = 3, 4, 5$. The mapping ψ_3 depends on A_2, B_2 and B_{21}, ψ_4 on B_2 and C_{22} and ψ_5 depends on A_2 and C_{22}. All these mappings are listed in Appendix C. □

4.3. **Reduction to One-Parameter-Families of Mappings.**

Proof of Lemma 4.6. We plug ψ_k into the complexified version of the mapping equation (2.10) and compare coefficients with respect to z, χ and τ. We list the monomials $z^k\chi^\ell\tau^m$ we consider in the mapping equation and for which of the remaining coefficients of H in ψ_k we are able to solve. Whenever B_{21} is present in ψ_k we write $B_{21} = \mathrm{i}\, b_{21}$, where $b_{21} \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover we recall that $A_2 \geq 0$.
We start with ψ_1 in which we have the terms b_{21}, A_{22}, B_{22} and C_{22}. The coefficient of $\chi^2\tau^2$ yields $C_{22} = 0$ and $\chi\tau^3$ gives $A_{22} = 0$. We write $B_{22} = \mathrm{Re}(B_{22}) + \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}(B_{22})$ to get from τ^4 that $\mathrm{Re}(B_{22}) = 2(1 - 3\,\varepsilon\, b_{21} + b_{21}^2)$. The coefficient of τ^6gives the following equation $\mathrm{Im}(B_{22})^2 + 4b_{21}^2(1 - 2\,\varepsilon\, b_{21})^2 = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{Im}(B_{22}) = 0$ and either $b_{21} = 0$ or $b_{21} = \varepsilon/2$. The first case $b_{21} = 0$ results in G_1^ε and from the second case if $b_{21} = \varepsilon/2$ we obtain $G_{2,0}^\varepsilon$.
Next, we insert ψ_2 into (2.10), which depends on A_2, b_{21}, A_{22} and C_{22}. The coefficient of $\chi^2\tau^2$ gives $C_{22} = 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, A_2^2$ and the coefficient of $z\chi^2\tau^2$ shows $A_{22} = 2(5\,\mathrm{i}\, A_2 b_{21} + 3A_2^3)$. The coefficient of τ^4 yields two cases: Either $b_{21} = -\frac{3A_2^2}{2}$ or $b_{21} = \frac{\varepsilon + A_2^2}{2}$.

Assuming the first case $b_{21} = -\frac{3A_2^2}{2}$, we obtain from the coefficient of τ^6 either $A_2 = 0$, which results in G_1^ε, or $1 + 12\,\varepsilon\, A_2^2 + 48A_2^4 + 64\,\varepsilon\, A_2^6 = 0$, which, since $A_2 \geq 0$, has the only solution if we take $\varepsilon = -1$ and $A_2 = 1/2$. This choice of parameters gives $G_{2,1/2}^-$.

In the second case $b_{21} = \frac{\varepsilon + A_2^2}{2}$ we immediately obtain the mapping $G_{2,s}^\varepsilon$, where we set $s = A_2 \geq 0$.
If we handle ψ_3, which depends on A_2, B_2 and b_{21}, we first consider the coefficient of $\chi^2\tau^2$ in (2.10) to get $B_2 = A_2^2$. Then the coefficient of τ^4 yields two cases:

The first one is $b_{21} = \frac{A_2^2}{2}$. If we consider the coefficient of $\chi\tau^3$ we obtain $A_2 = 0$ and thus the mapping G_1^ε.

The second case is $b_{21} = \frac{\varepsilon + A_2^2}{2}$ which again gives $G_{2,s}^\varepsilon$ after setting $s = A_2 \geq 0$.
Treating ψ_4, which depends on B_2 and C_{22}, we proceed as follows: The coefficient of $\chi^2\tau^2$ shows $C_{22} = 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,\bar{B}_2$ and τ^4 gives $B_2 = \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\,t}}{4}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In order to get rid of $e^{\mathrm{i}\,t}$ in ψ_4 we apply the following matrices

$$U_2 \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 1/v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad U_3' \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{where} \quad v = \frac{2e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}\,t}{2}}}{1 - \varepsilon + \mathrm{i}(1+\varepsilon)} \in \mathbb{S}^1,$$

to ψ_4, which do not affect the normalization. The resulting mapping is $G_{3,0}^\varepsilon$.
Finally we deal with ψ_5 in which the terms A_2 and C_{22} occur. We write $C_{22} = \mathrm{Re}(C_{22}) + \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}(C_{22})$ and consider the coefficient of $\chi^2\tau^2$ to obtain $\mathrm{Im}(C_{22}) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(C_{22}) = 0$. We end up with the mapping $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon$ after setting $s = A_2 \geq 0$, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

4.4. **Jet Determination.** In this section we provide a jet determination result based on Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a neighborhood of 0 and $H : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ a holomorphic mapping. We denote the components of H by $H = (f, g) = (f_1, f_2, g)$ and write $j_0(H) \coloneqq \{j_0^2 H, f_{z^2w}(0)\}$. If for $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ the coefficients belonging to $j_0(H_1)$ and $j_0(H_2)$ coincide, we have $H_1 \equiv H_2$.*

Proof. We note that $\mathcal{N}$ is the collection of the mappings $G_1^\varepsilon, G_{2,s}^\varepsilon$ and $G_{3,s}^\varepsilon$ from Theorem 4.1. The only parameter left in maps belonging to $\mathcal{N}$ is $s = 2f_{1w^2}(0)$. Let $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, then we verify that if the coefficients which belong to $j_0(H_1)$ and $j_0(H_2)$ coincide, this yields $H_1 \equiv H_2$. If $s = 0$ in H_1 or H_2, then if we compare $j_0(H_1)$ and $j_0(H_2)$ by looking at the coefficients $f_{2w^2}(0)$ and $f_{2z^2w}(0)$, the only possibility is $H_1 \equiv H_2$.
If $s \neq 0$, the coefficient $f_{1w^2}(0)$ yields that we may have $G_{2,s}^\varepsilon = G_{3,t}^\varepsilon$ for some $s, t \geq 0$. According to Lemma 4.2 this is only possible if and only if $t = s = 1/2$ and $\varepsilon = -1$. In this case we have $G_{2,1/2}^- \equiv G_{3,1/2}^-$. Next we note the following: In order to be able to apply Theorem 4.1 to a mapping $H \in \mathcal{F}$ we need to compose H with isotropies according to Proposition 3.1. We see from the proof of Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.3 that the standard parameters used to normalize H precisely depend on the elements of $j_0(H)$ as well as $g_{z^2w}(0)$ and $f_{z^3}(0)$. To show the dependence of $g_{z^2w}(0)$ on $j_0^2 f$ we take derivatives of (2.10) twice with respect to z and once with respect to τ and evaluate at 0 to obtain

$$g_{z^2w}(0) = 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(f_{1z^2}(0)\bar{f}_{1w}(0) + \varepsilon\, f_{2z^2}(0)\bar{f}_{2w}(0)\Big).$$

To get rid of the dependence of $f_{z^3}(0)$ we consider the system of equations in (4.6) and set $(w, \chi, \tau) = 0$. Then due to the 2-nondegeneracy of H we can solve for $f(z, 0)$, which then depends on elements of $j_0^2 H$. This completes the proof of the jet determination. □

4.5. **Isotropic Inequivalence of Mappings in $\mathcal{N}$.** The theorem below proves the remaining statement in Theorem 4.1 which says that the isotropic orbit of a given normalized map does not intersect the isotropic orbit of a different normalized map.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ and $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\sigma' \circ H_1 \circ \sigma = H_2$, then $H_1 \equiv H_2$.*

Proof. We let $H_k = (f_1^k, f_2^k, g^k)$ for $k = 1, 2$ from the hypothesis satisfy the conditions we collected in Remark 3.4. We write $s_k := 2|f_{1w^2}^k(0)| \geq 0, x_k := f_{2w^2}^k(0) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y_k := \mathrm{Im}\big(f_{2z^2w}^k(0)\big) \in \mathbb{R}$. Further we set $F := \sigma' \circ H_1 \circ \sigma - H_2$ and write $F = (f, g) = (f_1, f_2, g)$. By Corollary 4.8 we only need to consider components of $j_0 F$. We let $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathcal{G}$ with the notation from (2.1) and (2.4) respectively. The coefficients of order 1 of F, which are $f_z(0)$ and $F_w(0)$, are required to vanish and the corresponding equation is given as follows:

$$U'^{\,t}(u\lambda\lambda', 0) = (1, 0), \tag{4.9}$$

$$U'^{\,t}(uc + \lambda c_1', \lambda c_2', \lambda\lambda') = (0, 0, 1). \tag{4.10}$$

These equations imply $\lambda' = 1/\lambda, a_2' = c_2' = 0, a_1' = 1/(uu')$ and $c_1' = -uc/\lambda$. Assuming these standard parameters we consider the coefficients of order 2, which are $f_{z^2}(0), F_{zw}(0)$ and $F_{w^2}(0)$, to obtain:

$$(0, 2u'u^3\lambda) = (0, 2), \tag{4.11}$$

$$\left(-r - \lambda^2 r' + \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,\lambda^2}{2}, 2u'u^3\lambda c, 0\right) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon}{2}, 0, 0\right), \tag{4.12}$$

$$\big(\lambda^2(\lambda s_1 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, uc)/u, uu'\lambda(\lambda^2 x_1 + 2u^2c^2), -2(r + \lambda^2 r')\big) = (s_2, x_2, 0). \tag{4.13}$$

The second component of (4.12) implies $c = 0$. Assuming this value for c we obtain for the third order terms $f_{z^2w}(0)$ the following equation:

$$\big(4\,\mathrm{i}\,u\lambda^3 s_1, u'u^3\lambda(-4r - 2\lambda^2 r' + \mathrm{i}\,\lambda^2 y_1)\big) = (4\,\mathrm{i}\,s_2, \mathrm{i}\,y_2). \tag{4.14}$$

The second component of (4.11) shows $\lambda = 1$. Furthermore the third component of (4.13) shows $r' = -r$ and since from the second component of (4.11) we get $u'u^3 = 1$, we obtain from the second component of (4.14) that $r = 0$. The equation from $f_{2z^2}(0)$ given by $u'u^3 = 1$ uniquely determines u'. The remaining equation from the first component of (4.13), which comes from

the coefficient $f_{1w^2}(0)$, is $s_1/u = s_2$. We have to consider two cases:
If $s_2 > 0$, then $s_1 > 0$, which implies $u = 1$ is the only possibility. This gives $\sigma = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ and $\sigma' = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ and hence $H_1 \equiv H_2$.
If $s_2 = 0$, then also $s_1 = 0$ and from the equations (4.9)–(4.14) we obtain that $j_0(H_1) = j_0(H_2)$ such that Corollary 4.8 implies $H_1 \equiv H_2$. □

5. Equivalence of Mappings in $\mathcal{N}$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. For this purpose we compose the mappings $\mathcal{G}_k^\varepsilon$ with translations depending on a parameter p to obtain mappings denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{k,p}^\varepsilon$. These mappings are in general not elements of $\mathcal{N}$, hence we have to renormalize to obtain maps denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k,p}^\varepsilon$. By Theorem 4.1 the mappings $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k,p}^\varepsilon$ are necessarily maps as $G_{k,s}^\varepsilon$, with the difference that $s = s(p)$ depends on the parameter p, which suffices to show that $\mathcal{F}$ consists of finitely many orbits.

By Remark 2.5 it is easy to see that the following relation is an equivalence relation.

Definition 5.1. For $k = 1, 2$ let $H_k : U_k \to \mathbb{C}^3$ be a holomorphic mapping where U_k is an open and connected neighborhood of $p_k \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and $H_k(U_k \cap \mathbb{H}^2) \subset \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^3$. We say that H_1 and H_2 are *(transitively) equivalent* if there exist isotropies $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathcal{G}$ and points $(p, p') \in \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^3$ such that $H_2 = \sigma' \circ t'_{p'} \circ H_1 \circ t_p \circ \sigma$. The set of all mappings, which are transitively equivalent to a given mapping H, is called the *(transitive) orbit* of H and is denoted by $O(H)$.

Remark 5.2. If both mappings H_1, H_2 fix 0, then necessarily $p' = H_1(p)$ in Definition 5.1 above, which is the same construction as in [Hua99, Section 4]. If $p = 0$ then transitive equivalence coincides with isotropic equivalence. We define for suitable $p \in \mathbb{H}^2$ the map $H_p := t'_{H(p)} \circ H \circ t_p = (f_{1,p}, f_{2,p}, g_p)$.

5.1. Mappings at Points of Different (Non-)Degeneracy.

Definition 5.3. For $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ a neighborhood of 0 let $H : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ be a holomorphic mapping given by $H = P/Q$, where P, Q are polynomials such that $P(0) = 0$ and $Q(0) \neq 0$ with components $H = (f_1, f_2, g)$. We define $D_H := \{p \in \mathbb{H}^2 : Q(p) = 0\}$ and $A_H := \mathbb{H}^2 \setminus D_H$. The set N_H of points $p \in \mathbb{H}^2$, such that H_p does not satisfy the first condition in (2.13) is given by

$$N_H := \big\{p \in A_H : f_{1,pz}(0) f_{2,pz^2}(0) - f_{2,pz}(0) f_{1,pz^2}(0) = 0\big\}.$$

The set T_H of points $p \in \mathbb{H}^2$, where H_p does not satisfy the second condition of (2.13) is given by

$$T_H := \big\{p \in A_H : g_{pw}(0) = 0\big\}.$$

Remark 5.4. It is easy to show that $N_H = N$ from Remark 2.17 and T_H is the set of points in $\mathbb{H}^2$ where H is not transversal according to Remark 2.11.

For a mapping $H \in \mathcal{F}$ we have the following possibilities: Either there exists $p \in \mathbb{H}^2$ such that H is not 2-nondegenerate at p or H is 2-nondegenerate everywhere in the domain of H.
In the first case we consider H_p and in the second case we take H and compose the map with isotropies fixing 0. Then in both cases we normalize with respect to some different normal form than the one we introduced in Proposition 3.1.
The following example gives a mapping, which is 2-nondegenerate everywhere in its domain:

Example 5.5. We consider the mapping $H := G_1^+$ such that $A_H = \mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \{(0, \pm 1)\}$. Then we need to compute N_H, which is given by the following equation if we write $p = (re^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}, v + \mathrm{i}\,r^2), r \geq 0, \theta, v \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{(1 + \mathrm{i}\,v + r^2)(1 + v - \mathrm{i}\,r^2)(1 - v + \mathrm{i}\,r^2)}{(1 + (r^2 - \mathrm{i}\,v)^2)^3} = 0,$$

which admits no solution in A_H.

In the following paragraphs we deduce some mappings of different (non-)degeneracy at 0.

Example 5.6. For $H \coloneqq G^-_{2,\frac{\sqrt{5}}{4}}$ we find $p = \left(\frac{376+32\,\mathrm{i}}{89\sqrt{5}}, -\frac{512+320\,\mathrm{i}}{89}\right) \in N_H \cap T_H$. We apply isotropies fixing 0 to H_p and denote the resulting mapping by $G = (f, g) = (f_1, f_2, g)$. We normalize the mapping according to the following conditions:

(i) $f_z(0) = (1, 1)$	(iii) $f_{z^2}(0) = (0, 0)$	(v) $g_{w^2}(0) = 2$
(ii) $f_w(0) = (0, 0)$	(iv) $f_{1zw}(0) = 0$	(vi) $f_{1zw^2}(0) = 0$

when we use the following standard parameters:

$$c = -\frac{2+199\,\mathrm{i}}{2848\sqrt{5}}, \quad r = \frac{1223}{2048}, \quad c_1' = \frac{1276-3243\,\mathrm{i}}{22304\sqrt{5}}, \quad c_2' = \frac{11484+29187\,\mathrm{i}}{55760},$$
$$a_1' = \frac{30613535492-20104041651\,\mathrm{i}}{353339968\sqrt{3485}}, \quad a_2' = -\frac{11384417567-3593306283\,\mathrm{i}}{353339968\sqrt{697}}, \quad \lambda' = \frac{32\sqrt{697}}{89},$$
$$u' = \frac{538504992958+544496189479\,\mathrm{i}}{342480284921\sqrt{5}}, \quad r' = -\frac{756545275}{32444416},$$

and the remaining standard parameters are chosen trivially. The resulting mapping is of the form $(z, w) \mapsto \left(z, \frac{z}{1+w}, \frac{w^2}{1+w}\right)$. This mapping is (1,1)-degenerate and not transversal at 0. If we apply translations t_q and $t'_{p'}$, where $q = (0, -1)$ and $p' = (0, 0, -2)$, to the above map we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_3^- : (z, w) \mapsto \left(z, \frac{z}{w}, \frac{1+w^2}{w}\right). \tag{5.1}$$

Example 5.7. The map $H \coloneqq \mathcal{G}_4^-$ has $p = \left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{16\,\mathrm{i}}{3}\right) \in N_H$. First we scale H_p via dilations given by $(z, w) \mapsto (\sqrt{3}z, 3w)$ and $(z_1', z_2', w') \mapsto \left(\frac{11z_1'}{27}, \frac{11z_2'}{27}, \frac{121w'}{729}\right)$ and then we compose the resulting mapping with isotropies fixing 0 to obtain a map denoted by $G = (f, g) = (f_1, f_2, g)$. We impose the following normalization conditions:

(i) $f_z(0) = (0, \sqrt{3})$	(iii) $f_{2zw}(0) = 0$	(v) $f_{1z^2w}(0) = 0$
(ii) $G_w(0) = (0, 0, -3)$	(iv) $g_{w^2}(0) = 0$	(vi) $f_{1z^3}(0) = 24$

which are achieved if we take the following standard parameters:

$$c = \mathrm{i}, \quad \lambda = \frac{8}{3}, \quad a_1' = \frac{14}{11}, \quad a_2' = -\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{11}, \quad \lambda' = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}, \quad c_1' = \frac{3\sqrt{3}\,\mathrm{i}}{8},$$

and the remaining parameters are chosen trivially. The resulting mapping is given by $(z, w) \mapsto \frac{(4z^3, \sqrt{3}(1-w^2)z, -(3+w^2)w)}{1+3w^2}$, which is 3-nondegenerate and transversal at 0.

Example 5.8. Here we consider $H \coloneqq \mathcal{G}_4^+$ to obtain H_p for $p = (4(1+2\sqrt{2}/3)^{1/2}, 16(1+2\sqrt{2}/3)\,\mathrm{i})$ is not 2-nondegenerate at 0. First we compose H_p with the following dilations

$$(z, w) \mapsto \left((9+6\sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} z, 3(3+2\sqrt{2})w\right),$$
$$(z_1', z_2', w') \mapsto \left(\frac{(23+20\sqrt{2})z_1'}{27}, \frac{(23+20\sqrt{2})z_2'}{27}, \frac{(1329+920\sqrt{2})w'}{729}\right),$$

to remove some common factors. Then we compose the resulting mapping with isotropies fixing 0 and denote this mapping by G. Next we consider the same normalization conditions as

in Example 5.7 except we require $G_w(0) = (0,0,3)$ and use the following nontrivial standard parameters:

$$c = (1+\sqrt{2})\,\mathrm{i}, \quad \lambda = \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3}, \quad u' = -1, \quad a_1' = \frac{(75\sqrt{2}-154)}{271}, \quad a_2' = -\frac{5\sqrt{3}(11+14\sqrt{2})}{271},$$
$$\lambda' = \frac{3}{8}\sqrt{\frac{51}{2}-18\sqrt{2}}, \quad c_1' = \frac{-21\,\mathrm{i}(3987-2760\sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1084\sqrt{2}}, \quad c_2' = \frac{45\,\mathrm{i}(40-23\sqrt{2})}{4336}.$$

The resulting mapping is given by $(z,w) \mapsto \frac{(4z^3, \sqrt{3}(1+w^2)z, (3-w^2)w)}{1-3w^2}$, which is 3-nondegenerate and transversal at 0.

Remark 5.9. Example 5.7 and Example 5.8 show that the mapping

$$\mathcal{H}_4^{\varepsilon} : (z,w) \mapsto \frac{(4z^3, \sqrt{3}(1+\varepsilon\, w^2)z, (3\,\varepsilon - w^2)w)}{1-3\,\varepsilon\, w^2}, \tag{5.2}$$

is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_4^{\varepsilon}$.

Example 5.10. We prove that $H := G_{2,s}^-$ with $0 \le s \le 1/2$ admits no points in A_H, where H fails to be 2-nondegenerate. If we write $p = (re^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}, v + \mathrm{i}\,r^2) \in A_H$ the set N_H is given by

$$N_H = \{p \in A_H : -4rs + e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}\big(4 + (1-3s^2)(r^2 + \mathrm{i}\,v)\big) = 0, 0 \le s \le 1/2\},$$

which is the empty set as can be observed easily. We compose $\mathcal{G}_2^-$ with isotropies fixing 0 to obtain a mapping denoted by $G = (f_1, f_2, g)$. We consider the following normalization conditions:

(i) $f_z(0) = (1,0)$	(iii) $f_{2z^2}(0) = 2\sqrt{2}$	(v) $g_{w^2}(0) = 0$
(ii) $G_w(0) = (0,0,1)$	(iv) $f_{1w^2}(0) = 0$	(vi) $\mathrm{Re}(f_{1z^2w}(0)) = 0$

These conditions are achieved with the following nontrivial standard parameters $c = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\sqrt{2}}, \lambda = \sqrt{2}, \lambda' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, c_1' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, c_2' = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}$, which results in a mapping given by

$$\mathcal{H}_2^- : (z,w) \mapsto \left(\frac{z(1+\sqrt{2}z - \mathrm{i}\,w)}{1+\sqrt{2}z}, \frac{z(\sqrt{2}z - \mathrm{i}\,w)}{1+\sqrt{2}z}, w\right). \tag{5.3}$$

5.2. **Renormalization.**

Definition 5.11. We define and recall

$$\mathcal{H}_1^{\varepsilon}(z,w) := \left(\frac{z(1+\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, w)}{1-\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, w}, \frac{2z^2}{1-\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, w}, w\right), \quad \mathcal{H}_2^-(z,w) := \left(\frac{z(1+\sqrt{2}z - \mathrm{i}\,w)}{1+\sqrt{2}z}, \frac{z(\sqrt{2}z - \mathrm{i}\,w)}{1+\sqrt{2}z}, w\right),$$
$$\mathcal{H}_3^-(z,w) := \left(z, \frac{z}{w}, \frac{1+w^2}{w}\right), \qquad \mathcal{H}_4^{\varepsilon}(z,w) := \frac{(4z^3, \sqrt{3}(1+\varepsilon\, w^2)z, (3\,\varepsilon - w^2)w)}{1-3\,\varepsilon\, w^2}.$$

The mapping $\mathcal{H}_1^{\varepsilon}$ is isotropically equivalent to $G_{2,0}^{\varepsilon}$ by scaling with the isotropies $(z,w) \mapsto (2z, 4w)$ and $(z_1', z_2', w') \mapsto (z_1'/2, z_2'/2, w'/4)$. The map $\mathcal{H}_2^-$ is the one from (5.3), $\mathcal{H}_3^-$ is the map (5.1) and $\mathcal{H}_4^{\varepsilon}$ is taken from (5.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We define the following sets for $\varepsilon = +1$:

$$\mathcal{W}_1^+ := \left\{(r_0, \mathrm{i}\,r_0^2) : 0 < r_0 < 1\right\}, \qquad \mathcal{W}_4^+ := \left\{(r_0, \mathrm{i}\,r_0^2) : 0 < r_0 < -1+\sqrt{2}\right\},$$

and for $\varepsilon = -1$:

$$\mathcal{W}_1^- \coloneqq \left\{(r_0, \mathrm{i}\, r_0^2) : 0 < r_0 < -1 + \sqrt{2}\right\}, \qquad \mathcal{W}_3^- \coloneqq \left\{(r_0, \mathrm{i}\, r_0^2) : r_0 > 1\right\}$$
$$\mathcal{W}_4^- \coloneqq \left\{(r_0, \mathrm{i}\, r_0^2) : r_0 > 1, r_0 \neq \sqrt{2+\sqrt{3}}\right\},$$

and write

$$\mathcal{W}_{4,1}^- \coloneqq \left\{(r_0, \mathrm{i}\, r_0^2) : 1 < r_0 < \sqrt{2+\sqrt{3}}\right\}, \qquad \mathcal{W}_{4,2}^- \coloneqq \left\{(r_0, \mathrm{i}\, r_0^2) : r_0 > \sqrt{2+\sqrt{3}}\right\}.$$

For $k = 1, 3$ and $k = 4$ if $\varepsilon = +1$ we let $p_0 = (r_0, \mathrm{i}\, r_0^2) \in \mathcal{W}_k^\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{k,p_0}^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}$. In the case where $k = 4$ and $\varepsilon = -1$ we have that $g_{w,p_0}(0) < 0$ in $\mathcal{H}_{4,p_0}^-$ for $p_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{4,2}^-$. Here we apply the automorphism π' from (2.6) to $\mathcal{H}_{4,p_0}^-$. We consider $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathcal{G}$ according to Proposition 3.1 and define

$$H_k \coloneqq \sigma' \circ t'_{\mathcal{H}_k^\varepsilon(p_0)} \circ \mathcal{H}_k^\varepsilon \circ t_{p_0} \circ \sigma.$$

In Appendix D we list the standard parameters such that $H_k \in \mathcal{N}$. The notation we use for the standard parameters is from (2.1) and (2.1) and we write t_k for a standard parameter t occurring in H_k. If $k = 4$ and $\varepsilon = -1$ we normalize the mapping $\pi' \circ \mathcal{H}_{4,p_0}^-$ for $p_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{4,2}^-$ analogously to the case $p_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{4,1}^-$. The standard parameters can be taken as in the case when $g_{w,p_0}(0) > 0$, except a_1' and a_2' as well as c_1' and c_2' have to be interchanged.
We would like to show $H_k \equiv G^\varepsilon_{\ell_k, s_k^\varepsilon(p_0)}$, where $\ell_1 = \ell_3 = 2, \ell_4 = 3$ and $s_k^\varepsilon(p_0) : \mathcal{W}_k^\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ is given as follows:

$$s_1^\varepsilon(p_0) = \frac{2r_0(1+\varepsilon\, r_0^2)}{(1-\varepsilon\, r_0^2)^2}, \qquad s_3^-(p_0) = \frac{1+r_0^4}{4r_0^2}, \qquad s_4^\varepsilon(p_0) = \frac{\varepsilon - 33r_0^4 + 33\,\varepsilon\, r_0^8 + r_0^{12}}{12\sqrt{3} r_0^2(-\varepsilon + r_0^4)^2}.$$

By Corollary 4.8 it suffices to show

$$j_0\left(H_k\right) = j_0\left(G^\varepsilon_{\ell_k, s_k^\varepsilon(p_0)}\right). \tag{5.4}$$

We set $F_k \coloneqq H_k - G^\varepsilon_{\ell_k, s_k^\varepsilon(p_0)}$ and write $F_k = (f^k, g^k)$ for the components. Since $H_k \in \mathcal{N}$ we only need to consider the coefficients $f^k_{w^2}(0)$ and $f^k_{z^2w}(0)$ in F_k. We denote $G^\varepsilon_{k,s} = \left(f^\varepsilon_{k,s}, g^\varepsilon_{k,s}\right)$. The right-hand side of (5.4) contains the following coefficients, where we set $s = s_k^\varepsilon(p_0)$:

$$f^\varepsilon_{2,sw^2}(0) = \left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s^2}{2}\right), \qquad f^\varepsilon_{2,sz^2w}(0) = \left(2\,\mathrm{i}\, s, \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon + s^2\right)\right), \tag{5.5}$$

$$f^\varepsilon_{3,sw^2}(0) = \left(\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right), \qquad f^\varepsilon_{3,sz^2w}(0) = \left(2\,\mathrm{i}\, s, \frac{3\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon}{8}\right). \tag{5.6}$$

Then we compute for $H_k = (h^k, i^k) = (h_1^k, h_2^k, i^k))$ the coefficients $h^k_{w^2}(0)$ and $h^k_{z^2w}(0)$. We obtain that $h^1_{1w^2}(0) = s_1^\varepsilon(p_0)/2$, $h^3_{1w^2}(0) = s_3^-(p_0)/2$ and $h^4_{1w^2}(0) = s_4^\varepsilon(p_0)/2$. Further straightforward computations show that the remaining coefficients $h^k_{2w^2}(0)$ and $h^k_{z^2w}(0)$ are of the form as given in (5.5) and (5.6), where s is replaced by the appropriate $s_k^\varepsilon(p_0)$. This implies $H_k \equiv G^\varepsilon_{\ell_k, s_k^\varepsilon(p_0)}$ for $k = 1, 3, 4$ as claimed above.
Next we analyze to which mappings H_k is equivalent. By (5.4) it suffices to study the set $s_k^\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{W}_k^\varepsilon\right)$. For $\varepsilon = +1$ the function s_1^+ is strictly increasing in $\mathcal{W}_1^+$ and s_4^+ is strictly decreasing in $\mathcal{W}_4^+$. Further we have $s_1^+(\mathcal{W}_1^+) = s_4^+(\mathcal{W}_4^+) = \mathbb{R}^+$. This proves (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.5.
Then for $\varepsilon = -1$ it holds that s_k^- is strictly increasing in $\mathcal{W}_k^-$ for $k = 1, 3, 4$. Moreover we have $0 < s_1^-(\mathcal{W}_1^-) < 1/2, s_3^-(\mathcal{W}_3^-) > 1/2$ and $s_4^-(\mathcal{W}_4^-) = \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1/2\}$. More precisely we have $s_4^-(\mathcal{W}_{4,1}^-) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1/2\}$ and $s_4^-(\mathcal{W}_{4,2}^-) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 1/2\}$. This proves the remaining claims in Theorem 1.5. □

6. Proof of the Classification

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be an open, connected and sufficiently small neighborhood of $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $H : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$ a holomorphic mapping satisfying $H(U \cap \mathbb{S}^2) \subset \mathbb{S}^3_\varepsilon$. We change coordinates as described in subsection 2.2 and define $S_1(H) \coloneqq T_3 \circ H \circ T_2^{-1}$, where we use the biholomorphisms T_3 and T_2^{-1} from (2.7) and (2.8) respectively. We obtain a holomorphic mapping $S_1(H) : U \to \mathbb{C}^3$, which satisfies $S_1(H)(0) = 0$ and maps $V \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ to $\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$, where V is a sufficiently small open and connected neighborhood of 0. By Proposition 2.19, $S_1(H)$ is either H_1^ε or H_7, after changing coordinates to obtain the corresponding mappings from $\mathbb{S}^2$ to $\mathbb{S}^3_\varepsilon$, or belongs to $\mathcal{F}$.
We define $S_2(H) \coloneqq \sigma_1' \circ H \circ \sigma_1$, where $(\sigma_1, \sigma_1') \in \mathcal{G}$. If $S_1(H) \in \mathcal{F}$ we consider $S_2(S_1(H))$ and choose appropriate isotropies such that $S_2(S_1(H)) \in \mathcal{N}$ according to Proposition 3.1. From Theorem 4.1 we obtain that $S_2(S_1(H)) \in \{G_1^\varepsilon, G_{2,s}^\varepsilon, G_{3,s}^\varepsilon\}$. Next we apply Theorem 1.5. We obtain that if $\varepsilon = +1$ the class $\mathcal{F}$ consists of at most 3 orbits given by the mappings $G_1^+, \mathcal{G}_1^+$ and $\mathcal{G}_4^+$ and if $\varepsilon = -1$ we have at most 5 orbits in $\mathcal{F}$ given by the mappings $G_1^-, \mathcal{G}_1^-, \mathcal{G}_2^-, \mathcal{G}_3^-$ and $\mathcal{G}_4^-$. We show how to deduce the mappings listed in Theorem 1.3 from the above list of maps from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 1.5. We introduce $S_3(H) \coloneqq \sigma_2' \circ \left(t'_{H(p)} \circ H \circ t_p\right) \circ \sigma_2$, where $p \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and $(\sigma_2, \sigma_2') \in \mathcal{G}$. The map $S_1^{-1}(G_1^\varepsilon)$ is equivalent to H_2^ε, since composing G_1^ε with dilations $(z, w) \mapsto (\sqrt{2}z, 2w)$ and then applying S_1^{-1} results in the mapping H_2^ε. It holds that $S_1^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_1^\varepsilon) = H_3^\varepsilon$, where $\mathcal{H}_1^\varepsilon$ from Definition 5.11 is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_1^\varepsilon$. We have $S_1^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_2^-) = H_5$, where $\mathcal{H}_2^-$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_2^-$, see Example 5.10. Example 5.6 shows that $S_1^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_3^-)$, where $\mathcal{H}_3^-$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_3^-$, is equivalent to H_6. We apply the isotropy $(z_1', z_2', w') \mapsto (z_1'/2, \mathrm{i}\, z_2'/2, w'/4)$ and then S_1^{-1} to the map in $\mathcal{H}_3^-$ to obtain H_6. Finally we have $S_1^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_4^\varepsilon) = H_4^\varepsilon$, where $\mathcal{H}_4^\varepsilon$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_4^\varepsilon$ as we noted in Remark 5.9.
Next we show that the mappings listed in Theorem 1.3 are not equivalent to each other. The following lemma whose proof is easy is stated in [Mey06, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 6.1. *Let $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and $(\phi, \phi') \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^2, 0) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon, 0)$, then $\widetilde{H} \coloneqq \phi' \circ H \circ \phi$ satisfies $\deg \widetilde{H} = \deg H$.*

We need the following lemma to treat the mapping $\mathcal{H}_2^-$.

Lemma 6.2. *We set $G \coloneqq \mathcal{H}_2^-$ and let $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and $(\phi, \phi') \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^2, 0) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon, 0)$ such that $H = \phi' \circ G \circ \phi$. Then G is isotropically equivalent to H.*

Proof. By Remark 2.5 we write $(\phi, \phi') = (t_p \circ \sigma, \sigma' \circ t'_{p'})$ for $(p, p') \in \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^3_\varepsilon$ and $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathcal{G}$. Since $H \in \mathcal{F}$ we conclude that the map $t'_{p'} \circ G \circ t_p$ fixes 0 and satisfies the conditions in (2.13), which implies $p' = G(p)$ and hence $G_p \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus we have $H = \sigma' \circ t'_{G(p)} \circ G \circ t_p \circ \sigma$. Since $H \in \mathcal{F}$ we write $H = \phi' \circ \widetilde{H} \circ \phi$ where $\widetilde{H} \in \mathcal{N}$ and $(\phi, \phi') \in \mathcal{G}$. Then we consider

$$\widetilde{H} = \psi' \circ t'_{G(p)} \circ G \circ t_p \circ \psi, \tag{6.1}$$

where $(\psi, \psi') = (\sigma \circ \phi^{-1}, \phi'^{-1} \circ \sigma') \in \mathcal{G}$. We want to conclude that $\widetilde{H} \equiv G$, which proves our claim. We investigate for which $p \in \mathbb{H}^2$ we have $G_p \in \mathcal{F}$. We compute the following sets for G, where we write $p = (re^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}, v + \mathrm{i}\, r^2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ with $r \geq 0$ and $\theta, v \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$D_G = \left\{p \in \mathbb{H}^2 : 1 + \sqrt{2} r e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta} = 0\right\}, \quad N_G = \emptyset, \quad T_G = \left\{p \in A_G : e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta} + \sqrt{2} r (1 + e^{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) = 0\right\}.$$

The triviality of N_G follows from the considerations in Example 5.10. Now we let the parameters p in G_p be arbitrary in $A_G \setminus T_G$ such that we can normalize G_p according to the normalization conditions given in Example 5.10. More precisely we consider $\widetilde{H}$ from (6.1) above, such that $\widetilde{H}$ satisfies these new normalization conditions. We list all necessary standard parameters in

Appendix D. Then we apply the jet determination result for $\mathcal{F}$ and consider the coefficients of $\widetilde{H}$ according to Corollary 4.8 to see that $\widetilde{H} \equiv G$. □

Now we are ready to prove that the mappings listed in Theorem 1.3 are not equivalent to each other. We start with the easy cases: H_7 is not equivalent to any other map of the list, since it is not immersive. Also H_1^ε cannot be equivalent to any other map, since the map is $(1,1)$-degenerate everywhere in its domain and by Proposition 2.19 the mappings $H_2^\varepsilon, H_3^\varepsilon, H_4^\varepsilon, H_5$ and H_6 have points in their domains, where they are 2-nondegenerate. By Lemma 6.1 we observe that H_4^ε is not equivalent to any other map in the list. It remains to distinguish mappings of degree 2.

First we treat the case $\varepsilon = +1$. From Example 5.5 we know that G_1^+ is 2-nondegenerate everywhere in its domain, which is equivalent to H_2^+. The map H_3^+ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_1^+ = G_{2,0}^+$, which has points in its domain, where the map is not 2-nondegenerate as can be easily observed. For example the point $(2, 4\,\mathrm{i}) \in N_{\mathcal{G}_1^+}$. Thus H_2^+ and H_3^+ are not equivalent.

Next we consider the case $\varepsilon = -1$. First we note that Example 5.10 shows the maps H_3^-, which is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_1^- = G_{2,0}^-$ and H_5, which is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_2^- = G_{2,1/2}^-$, are both 2-nondegenerate everywhere in their domains. The maps H_2^- and H_6, which are equivalent to G_1^- and $\mathcal{G}_3^- = G_{2,1}^-$ respectively, do contain points in their domains, where the maps are not 2-nondegenerate. More precisely, G_1^- is not 2-nondegenerate excactly at the points $p = (e^{\mathrm{i}\,t}, \mathrm{i})$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the space $E_1'(p)$ is 1-dimensional. This implies that there are no points in the domain where the map is $(1,1)$-degenerate. We computed in Example 5.6, that there is a mapping which is $(1,1)$-degenerate at for example $(0,1) \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and is equivalent to H_6 by Theorem 1.5. Thus the maps H_2^- and H_6 are both not equivalent to any other map of the list.

For a mapping F we introduce the set $\mathrm{stab}_0(F) \coloneqq \{(\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathcal{G} : \sigma' \circ F \circ \sigma = F\}$ called the *isotropic stabilizer of* F. Next we observe that if we let $H \in \mathcal{N}$ and $F = \varphi' \circ H \circ \varphi$, where $(\varphi, \varphi') \in \mathcal{G}$, it is a well-known fact that

$$\mathrm{stab}_0(F) = \left\{ (\varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \varphi, \varphi' \circ \sigma' \circ {\varphi'}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{G} : (\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathrm{stab}_0(H) \right\}. \tag{6.2}$$

It remains to distinguish H_3^- from H_5. On the one hand we observe that for $G_{2,0}^-$ and $|u| = 1$ the isotropies $\big(\sigma(z,w), \sigma'(z_1', z_2', w')\big) = \big(uz, w, z_1'/u, z_2'/u^2, w'\big)$ belong to $\mathrm{stab}_0(G_{2,0}^-)$. On the other hand the map $G_{2,1/2}^-$ has a trivial isotropic stabilizer. This can be concluded from the equations in the proof of Theorem 4.9, since here we are in the first case, where $s_1 = s_2 = 1/2$. In Lemma 6.2 we concluded that any map in $\mathcal{F}$ to which $G_{2,1/2}^-$ is equivalent must be isotropically equivalent, thus by (6.2) has a trivial isotropic stabilizer. Hence H_3^- and H_5 are not equivalent.

It remains to prove the last statement of Theorem 1.3. We show equivalence of $S_1(L_3)$ and $\mathcal{G}_1^-$, $S_1(L_4)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^-$, $S_1(L_5)$ and $\mathcal{G}_3^-$ and finally equivalence of $S_1(L_6)$ and $\mathcal{G}_4^-$.

We start by showing the first equivalence by considering $S_3(S_1(L_3))$ and defining $u' = -1, \lambda = 1/2, a_1' = -1, c_2' = \mathrm{i}/2$ and the rest of the occurring parameters trivially. Then we have $S_3(S_1(L_3)) = \mathcal{G}_1^-$. In the case of the mapping $S_1(L_4)$ we define

$$p = (2, 4\,\mathrm{i}), \quad c = \frac{11\,\mathrm{i}}{4}, \quad u = -1, \quad \lambda = 3, \quad \lambda' = \frac{2}{3\ 3^{3/4}},$$
$$a_1' = -\frac{2}{3^{1/4}} - \frac{3^{1/4}}{8}, \quad a_2' = -\frac{2}{3^{1/4}} + \frac{3^{1/4}}{8}, \quad c_1' = -\frac{\mathrm{i}(272 - 5\sqrt{3})}{144}, \quad c_2' = \frac{\mathrm{i}(272 + 5\sqrt{3})}{144},$$

and the rest of the parameters trivially. With these choices we obtain $S_3(S_1(L_4)) = \mathcal{G}_2^-$. Next we want to see that $S_1(L_5)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_3^-$. We define the following parameters for $S_3(S_1(L_5))$

$$p = \Big(\sqrt{2}, -1 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big), \quad c = \frac{4+3\,\mathrm{i}}{8\sqrt{5}}, \quad u = -\frac{1-2\,\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad r = \frac{1}{8}, \quad r' = 3\sqrt{2},$$
$$\lambda' = 4\ 2^{1/4}, \quad u' = -\frac{2-11\,\mathrm{i}}{5\sqrt{5}}, \quad a_1' = \frac{-1+7\,\mathrm{i}}{5}, \quad a_2' = \frac{-4+3\,\mathrm{i}}{5}, \quad c_1' = -\frac{1-5\,\mathrm{i}}{2^{3/4}}, \quad c_2' = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2^{3/4}},$$

and the remaining parameters we choose trivially. Then we have $S_3(S_1(L_5)) = G^-_{2,\frac{\sqrt{5}}{4}}$, which, since $\sqrt{5}/4 > 1/2$, is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_3^-$ by Theorem 1.5. Finally we consider $S_1(L_6)$ and we want to see that this mapping is equivalent to H_4^-. Here we note that after the linear change of coordinates $(z, w) \mapsto (\mathrm{i}\,w, z)$ and $(z_1', z_2', w') \mapsto (-z_1', -\mathrm{i}\,z_2', w')$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$, L_6 is the same mapping as H_4^-, which we know is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_4^-$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

Appendix A: Formula for Jet Parametrization

In Lemma 4.3 we have the following formulas: Denote $\Psi = (f_1, f_2, g)$. We order the monomials by degree and by assigning the weight 1 to z and the weight 2 to the variable χ. The numerator of $f_1(z, 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi)$ is the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\,\varepsilon\,z + 6A_2 z\chi + \mathrm{i}\,C_{22}z^3 + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}z^2\chi + 6\,\varepsilon\,B_2 z\chi^2 + \Big(2\,\varepsilon\,A_2 + A_{22} - C_{13}\Big)z^3\chi \\
&- 2\Big(3\,\mathrm{i}\,A_3 + 3\,\varepsilon\,B_{12} + A_2(7\,\varepsilon - 3\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21})\Big)z^2\chi^2 + 2A_2B_2 z\chi^3 \\
&+ \Big(6A_2^2 + \mathrm{i}\,A_{13} + \varepsilon(-1 - 2B_{21}^2 + B_{22} + C_3) - \mathrm{i}\,C_4 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2C_{22}\Big)z^3\chi^2 \\
&- 2\Big(5A_2^2 + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3 + 4A_2B_{12} + B_2(6 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21})\Big)z^2\chi^3 \\
&+ \Big(-A_4 - 2A_{22}B_2 + B_{12} + 2A_3B_{21} + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon(4A_3 + B_{13} - 4B_{12}B_{21}) \\
&\quad + A_2(5 + 4\,\varepsilon\,B_2 - 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} - 2B_{21}^2 + B_{22} + 3C_3) + 2B_2C_{13}\Big)z^3\chi^3 \\
&+ 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(B_2(4A_3 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12}) + A_2\big(-5B_3 + B_2(5\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon + B_{21})\big)\Big)z^2\chi^4 \\
&+ \Big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_3 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_3B_{12} + \mathrm{i}\,A_2\big(4A_3 + B_{13} + B_{12}(-6\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon - 4B_{21})\big) + 2A_2^2(5\,\varepsilon - 2B_2 - \mathrm{i}\,B_{21}) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon(-B_4 + 2B_{12}^2 + 4B_3B_{21}) + B_2\big(-2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_{13} - 6\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21} + \varepsilon(2 - B_{22} + 2C_3) + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,C_4\big) \\
&\quad + \mathrm{i}\,B_2^2C_{22}\Big)z^3\chi^4 - 2A_2^2B_2z^2\chi^5 \\
&+ \Big(4A_2^3 + 2A_4B_2 + A_{22}B_2^2 + 3A_2^2B_{12} + 5B_2B_{12} + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3B_{12} - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2B_{13} \\
&\quad - 2A_3\big(B_3 + B_2(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon + B_{21})\big) - A_2\big(6\,\varepsilon\,B_2^2 + B_4 - 2B_{12}^2 + B_3(-8\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon - 4B_{21}) \\
&\quad + B_2(-4 + 8\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} + B_{22} + 2C_3)\big) - B_2^2C_{13}\Big)z^3\chi^5 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2\Big(A_3B_2 - A_2B_3\Big)z^2\chi^6 \\
&+ \Big(-2\,\varepsilon\,B_3^2 + B_2(4\,\mathrm{i}\,B_3 + \varepsilon\,B_4 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_3B_{12}) - \mathrm{i}\,A_2\big(2A_3B_2 - 4B_3B_{12} + B_2(6\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12} + B_{13})\big) \\
&\quad + 2A_2^2\big(-B_2^2 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_3 + B_2(\varepsilon - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21})\big) + B_2^2(3\,\varepsilon + \mathrm{i}\,A_{13} - 3\,\varepsilon\,C_3 - \mathrm{i}\,C_4)\Big)z^3\chi^6 \\
&+ \Big(B_2\big(-A_4B_2 + 2A_3(-\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2 + B_3)\big) + 3A_2^2B_2B_{12} + A_2\big(-2B_3^2 + B_2(4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3 + B_4) \\
&\quad - B_2^2(-3 + C_3)\big)\Big)z^3\chi^7 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2B_2\Big(-A_3B_2 + A_2B_3\Big)z^3\chi^8
\end{aligned}$$

The numerator of $f_2(z, 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi)$ is equal to the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\,\varepsilon\,z^2 + 2A_2z^3 + 6A_2z^2\chi + \Big(-1 + C_3\Big)z^3\chi + 4\,\varepsilon\,B_2z^2\chi^2 - \Big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_3 + 6A_2(\varepsilon + B_2) + \varepsilon\,B_{12}\Big)z^3\chi^2 \\
&- 4A_2B_2z^2\chi^3 + \Big(-4A_2^2 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3 - A_2B_{12} + B_2(1 + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} - 3C_3)\Big)z^3\chi^3 - 6\,\varepsilon\,B_2^2z^2\chi^4 \\
&+ \Big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2(A_3 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12}) + A_2\big(6B_2^2 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_3 + 4B_2(\varepsilon + \mathrm{i}\,B_{21})\big)\Big)z^3\chi^4 - 2A_2B_2^2z^2\chi^5 \\
&+ B_2\Big(4A_2^2 - 2A_2B_{12} + B_2(-3 - 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} + 3C_3)\Big)z^3\chi^5 \\
&+ B_2^2\Big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_3 + 3\,\varepsilon\,B_{12} + 2A_2(\varepsilon - B_2 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21})\Big)z^3\chi^6 + B_2^2\Big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3 + 3A_2B_{12} \\
&- B_2(-3 + C_3)\Big)z^3\chi^7 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2^2\Big(A_3B_2 - A_2B_3\Big)z^3\chi^8
\end{aligned}
$$

The numerator of $g(z, 2\,\mathrm{i}\,z\chi)$ is equal to the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,z\chi + 12\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2z\chi^2 - 2C_{22}z^3\chi + \Big(4\,\mathrm{i} - 8\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}\Big)z^2\chi^2 + 12\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2z\chi^3 \\
&+ 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(4\,\varepsilon\,A_2 + A_{22} - C_{13}\Big)z^3\chi^2 + 12\Big(A_3 - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12} + A_2(-\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon - B_{21})\Big)z^2\chi^3 + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2B_2z\chi^4 \\
&+ 2\Big(8\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2^2 - A_{13} - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon(2 + 2B_{21}^2 - B_{22} - 2C_3) + C_4 + 2B_2C_{22}\Big)z^3\chi^3 \\
&- 4\Big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2^2 - 4\,\varepsilon\,B_3 + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2B_{12} + B_2(3\,\mathrm{i} + 2\,\varepsilon\,B_{21})\Big)z^2\chi^4 \\
&+ 2\Big(-\,\mathrm{i}\,A_4 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_{22}B_2 - \varepsilon\,B_{13} - 2A_3(\varepsilon - \mathrm{i}\,B_{21}) + 4\,\varepsilon\,B_{12}B_{21} + A_2\big(4\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21}^2 \\
&\quad + \mathrm{i}(-2 + B_{22} + 4C_3)\big) + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2C_{13}\Big)z^3\chi^4 \\
&- 4\Big(B_2(4A_3 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12}) + A_2\big(-5B_3 + B_2(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon + B_{21})\big)\Big)z^2\chi^5 \\
&+ 2\Big(-2A_3B_{12} - A_2\big(2A_3 + B_{13} + B_{12}(-4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon - 4B_{21})\big) + 2A_2^2(-4\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2 + B_{21}) \\
&\quad - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\big(B_4 - 2(B_{12}^2 + 2B_3B_{21})\big) + B_2\big(2A_{13} + 2B_{21} - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon(-2 + B_{22}) - 2C_4\big) - B_2^2C_{22}\Big)z^3\chi^5 \\
&- 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(-2A_4B_2 - A_{22}B_2^2 - 2A_2^2B_{12} - 2B_2B_{12} - 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3B_{12} + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2B_{13} + 2A_3\big(B_3 \\
&\quad + B_2(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon + B_{21})\big) + A_2\big(4\,\varepsilon\,B_2^2 + B_4 - 2B_{12}^2 + B_3(-4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon - 4B_{21}) + B_2(-2 + B_{22} + 4C_3)\big) \\
&\quad + B_2^2C_{13}\Big)z^3\chi^6 + 4B_2\Big(A_3B_2 - A_2B_3\Big)z^2\chi^7 \\
&- 2\Big(A_{13}B_2^2 + 2A_2^2B_3 + 2B_2B_3 - 2A_3B_2B_{12} - A_2(2A_3B_2 - 4B_3B_{12} + B_2B_{13}) \\
&\quad + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon(2B_3^2 - B_2B_4 + 2B_2^2C_3) - B_2^2C_4\Big)z^3\chi^7 \\
&- 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(A_4B_2^2 - 2A_3B_2B_3 + A_2(2B_3^2 - B_2B_4)\Big)z^3\chi^8
\end{aligned}
$$

The denominator of H is of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\,\varepsilon + 6A_2\chi + \mathrm{i}\,C_{22}z^2 + \Big(2 + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}\Big)z\chi + 6\,\varepsilon\,B_2\chi^2 + \Big(6\,\varepsilon\,A_2 + A_{22} - C_{13}\Big)z^2\chi \\
&- 6\Big(\mathrm{i}\,A_3 + \varepsilon\,B_{12} + A_2(\varepsilon - \mathrm{i}\,B_{21})\Big)z\chi^2 + 2A_2B_2\chi^3 - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^3\chi \\
&+ \Big(12A_2^2 + \mathrm{i}\,A_{13} - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21} + \varepsilon(-3 - 2B_{21}^2 + B_{22} + 3C_3) - \mathrm{i}\,C_4 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2C_{22}\Big)z^2\chi^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- 2\Big(2A_2^2 + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3 + 4A_2B_{12} + B_2(3 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21})\Big)z\chi^3 + \Big(\varepsilon(-A_{22} + C_{13}) + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2(\mathrm{i} + \varepsilon\,B_{21}\\
&\quad - C_{22})\Big)z^3\chi^2 + \Big(-A_4 - 2A_{22}B_2 + 3B_{12} + 2A_3B_{21} + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon(4A_3 + B_{13} - 4B_{12}B_{21})\\
&\quad + A_2(-2 - 10\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} - 2B_{21}^2 + B_{22} + 6C_3) + 2B_2C_{13}\Big)z^2\chi^3\\
&+ 2\,\mathrm{i}\Big(B_2(4A_3 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12}) + A_2\big(-5B_3 + B_2(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon + B_{21})\big)\Big)z\chi^4 - \Big(-1 + 2A_2^2(8\,\varepsilon - \mathrm{i}\,B_{21}) - 2B_{21}^2\\
&\quad + B_{22} + C_3 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\big(A_{13} - B_{21}(-1 + C_3) - C_4\big) + 2A_2(\mathrm{i}\,A_3 + A_{22} + \varepsilon\,B_{12} - C_{13})\Big)z^3\chi^3\\
&- \Big(-4\,\mathrm{i}\,B_3 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_3B_{12} - \mathrm{i}\,A_2\big(4A_3 + B_{13} + B_{12}(-13\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon - 4B_{21})\big) + 4A_2^2(3B_2 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21})\\
&\quad + \varepsilon\big(B_4 - 2(B_{12}^2 + 2B_3B_{21})\big) + B_2\big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_{13} + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21} + \varepsilon(-8 + B_{22}) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,C_4\big) - \mathrm{i}\,B_2^2C_{22}\Big)z^2\chi^4\\
&- \Big(16A_2^3 + 2A_2^2B_{12} + \mathrm{i}\big(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_4 + B_{13} - 3B_{12}B_{21} - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12}C_3 + A_3(3 + C_3)\big) + A_2\big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_{13} + 3\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21}\\
&\quad - \mathrm{i}\,B_{21}C_3 + \varepsilon(2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_3 - 6B_{21}^2 + 3B_{22} + 8C_3) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,C_4 + 2B_2(6 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} - \mathrm{i}\,C_{22})\big)\Big)z^3\chi^4\\
&+ \Big(2A_4B_2 + A_{22}B_2^2 + 10A_2^2B_{12} + 3B_2B_{12} + 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3B_{12} - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2B_{13} - 2A_3\big(B_3 + B_2(4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon + B_{21})\big)\\
&\quad - A_2\big(6\,\varepsilon\,B_2^2 + B_4 - 2B_{12}^2 + B_3(-16\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon - 4B_{21}) + B_2(-10 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} + B_{22} + 6C_3)\big)\\
&\quad - B_2^2C_13\Big)z^2\chi^5 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2\Big(A_3B_2 - A_2B_3\Big)z\chi^6\\
&- \Big(2A_3^2 - 2B_2 - B_4 + B_{12}^2 + 2B_3B_{21} + A_3\big(-\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12} + 2A_2(-2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2 + B_{21})\big) + B_2B_{22} + 6B_2C_3\\
&\quad + 2A_2^2\big(\mathrm{i}\,B_3 + B_2(8\,\varepsilon + \mathrm{i}\,B_{21}) - 2B_{21}^2 + B_{22} + 4C_3\big) + A_2\big(-2A_4 - 2A_{22}B_2 - 2B_{12}\\
&\quad + \varepsilon(-2B_2B_{12} + 3\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{13} - 10\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{12}B_{21}) + B_{12}C_3 + 2B_2C_{13}\big) + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\big(B_3(1 + C_3)\\
&\quad + B_2(B_{21}(-5 + C_3) - B_2C_{22})\big)\Big)z^3\chi^5 + \Big(12\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2^2B_3 - 2\,\varepsilon\,B_3^2 + B_2(4\,\mathrm{i}\,B_3 + \varepsilon\,B_4 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_3B_{12})\\
&\quad - \mathrm{i}\,A_2\big(12A_3B_2 - 4B_3B_{12} + B_2(3\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{12} + B_{13})\big) + B_2^2\big(3\,\varepsilon(1 - C_3) + \mathrm{i}\,A_{13} - \mathrm{i}\,C_4\big)\Big)z^2\chi^6\\
&+ \Big(-\mathrm{i}\,B_3B_{12} - \mathrm{i}\,B_2B_{13} + A_2^2(2B_2B_{12} - 2\ \mathrm{i}\,B_{13} + 7\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{12}B_{21}) + A_3\big(-2\,\varepsilon\,B_3 - \mathrm{i}\,A_2B_{12}\\
&\quad + B_2\big(4\,\varepsilon\,B_{21} + 2\mathrm{i}(-1 + C_3)\big)\big) + \mathrm{i}\,A_2\big(2A_{13}B_2 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2^2 + B_3 - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\big(3B_4 - 4(B_{12}^2 + 2B_3B_{21})\big)\\
&\quad - B_3C_3 + B_2\big(2\,\varepsilon\,B_3 - B_{21}(-7 + C_3) + \mathrm{i}(\varepsilon(B_{22} + 8C_3) + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,C_4)\big)\big) + \varepsilon\,B_2\big(A_{22}B_2\\
&\quad + B_{12}(-4 + C_3) - B_2C_{13}\big)\Big)z^3\chi^6 + \Big(-A_4B_2^2 + 2A_3B_2(-2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2 + B_3) + A_2\big(-2B_3^2\\
&\quad + B_2(4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3 + B_4)\big)\Big)z^2\chi^7 + \Big(A_2^2\big(2\,\mathrm{i}\,B_2B_3 + 2B_4 - 3(B_{12}^2 + 2B_3B_{21})\big) - A_2\big(2A_4B_2\\
&\quad + 6B_2B_{12} + 6\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3B_{12} + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2B_{13} + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_3B_2(B_2 + 3\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21}) - B_2B_{12}C_3\big) + B_2\big(B_4\\
&\quad + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\big(3A_3B_{12} + B_3(-3 + C_3)\big) - B_2(3 - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_{13} + C_3 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_4)\big)\Big)z^3\chi^7\\
&+ \Big(-\varepsilon\,A_4B_2^2 + A_2\big(B_3(2\,\varepsilon\,B_3 - 5\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2B_{12}) + B_2\big(\varepsilon\,B_4 + \mathrm{i}\,B_3(-5 + C_3)\big)\big) - \mathrm{i}\,A_3B_2\big(-2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_3\\
&\quad - 5A_2B_{12} + B_2(-5 + C_3)\big)\Big)z^3\chi^8 + 2\Big(A_3B_2 - A_2B_3\Big)^2z^3\chi^9
\end{aligned}
$$

Appendix B: Case A and B

In the proof of Lemma 4.5 the following diagrams occur:



Figure 5. Diagram for Case A



FIGURE 6. Diagram for Case B

Appendix C: Formulas for ψ_k and $\widehat{\psi}_k$

In Lemma 4.5 we have the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_1(z,w) =&\Big(2z\Big(8+8B_{21}w+4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2+\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_{22}zw+(4+12\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}-4B_{21}^2-B_{22})w^2\Big),\\
&8z^2\Big(2-\mathrm{i}(\varepsilon+3\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21})w\Big),2w\Big(8-4(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon-2B_{21})w+4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2+\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_{22}zw\\
&+(2+6\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}-4B_{21}^2-B_{22})w^2\Big)\Big)/\\
&\Big(16-8(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon-2B_{21})w+8\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2+2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_{22}zw+2(2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}-4B_{21}^2-B_{22})w^2\\
&-4C_{22}z^2w-A_{22}zw^2-\big(14B_{21}-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon(4-10B_{21}^2-B_{22})\big)w^3\Big)\\
\psi_2(z,w) =&\Big(2\Big(16z+16B_{21}zw+4A_2w^2+8\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^3+2\big(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_{22}+A_2(8\,\mathrm{i}-6\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}-3C_{22})\big)z^2w\\
&-\big(A_2A_{22}-4(1+2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}+B_{21}^2)+\mathrm{i}\,A_2^2(6B_{21}+\varepsilon\,C_{22})\big)zw^2+2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2(\varepsilon+2A_2^2\\
&+\mathrm{i}\,B_{21})w^3\Big),4\Big(8z^2+2A_2^2w^2+8\,\varepsilon\,A_2z^3-4(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon-3B_{21})z^2w+2A_2(2+3\,\varepsilon\,A_2^2\\
&+4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21})zw^2+\mathrm{i}\,A_2^2(\varepsilon+2A_2^2+\mathrm{i}\,B_{21})w^3\Big),2w\Big(16-8(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon-2B_{21})w+8\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2+\\
&2\big(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_{22}+A_2(4\,\mathrm{i}-6\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}-3C_{22})\big)zw-\Big(A_2A_{22}+4B_{21}(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon-B_{21})+\mathrm{i}\,A_2^2\big(6B_{21}\\
&-\varepsilon(4\,\mathrm{i}-C_{22})\big)\Big)w^2\Big)\Big)/\Big(32-16(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon-2B_{21})w+16\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2+4\big(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_{22}-3A_2(2\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}\\
&+C_{22})\big)zw-2\Big(A_2A_{22}+4(1+3\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}-B_{21}^2)+\mathrm{i}\,A_2^2\big(6B_{21}-\varepsilon(12\,\mathrm{i}-C_{22})\big)\Big)w^2\\
&-8C_{22}z^2w-2\Big(A_{22}+A_2\big(10\,\mathrm{i}\,B_{21}+\varepsilon(8-\mathrm{i}\,C_{22})\big)\Big)zw^2+\big(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_2A_{22}-12B_{21}\\
&+4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon(1-2B_{21}^2)+A_2^2(12\,\mathrm{i}-14\,\varepsilon\,B_{21}-C_{22})\big)w^3\Big)\\
\psi_3(z,w) =&\Big(4z-4\,\varepsilon\,A_2z^2+2\,\mathrm{i}(\varepsilon+\mathrm{i}\,B_{21})zw+A_2w^2,4z^2+w^2B_2,2w(2-2\,\varepsilon\,A_2z-B_{21}w)\Big)/\\
&\Big(4-4\,\varepsilon\,A_2z-2B_{21}w-2\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2zw-(1+2\,\varepsilon\,A_2^2+2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_{21})w^2\Big)\\
\psi_4(z,w) =&\Big(z\Big(256+96\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w+128\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2-(5-32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2C_{22})w^2\Big),\\
&4\Big(64z^2+16B_2w^2+4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,z^2w+\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2w^3\Big),w\Big(256-32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w+128\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2\\
&+(3+32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2C_{22})w^2\Big)\Big)/\Big(256-32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w+128\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2-(13-32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,B_2C_{22})w^2\\
&-64C_{22}z^2w-(\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon-16B_2C_{22})w^3\Big)\\
\psi_5(z,w) =&\Big(256z+96\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,zw+64A_2w^2+128\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^3+64\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2z^2w-(5-48\,\varepsilon\,A_2^2\\
&+8\,\mathrm{i}\,C_{22})zw^2+4\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,A_2w^3,256z^2-16\,\varepsilon\,w^2+256\,\varepsilon\,A_2z^3+16\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,z^2w-16A_2zw^2\\
&-\mathrm{i}\,w^3,w\Big(256-32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w+128\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2-64\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2zw+(3-16\,\varepsilon\,A_2^2-8\,\mathrm{i}\,C_{22})w^2\Big)\Big)/\\
&\Big(256-32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,w+128\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\,C_{22}z^2-192\,\mathrm{i}\,A_2zw-(13+144\,\varepsilon\,A_2^2+8\,\mathrm{i}\,C_{22})w^2\\
&-64C_{22}z^2w+8\,\varepsilon\,A_2(-1+8\,\mathrm{i}\,C_{22})zw^2-\varepsilon(\mathrm{i}+4C_{22})w^3\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\widehat{\psi}_k = \psi_k$ for $k = 3, 4, 5$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\psi}_1(z,w) =& \Big(2z\Big(8\,\varepsilon + 8\,\varepsilon\, B_{21} w + 4\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22} z^2 - 2\,\mathrm{i}(A_{22} - C_{13}) zw + (\varepsilon - \mathrm{i}\, A_{13} + 2\,\varepsilon\, B_{21}^2 - \varepsilon\, B_{22} \\
& - \varepsilon\, C_3 + \mathrm{i}\, C_4) w^2\Big), 4z^2\Big(4\,\varepsilon + \mathrm{i}(1 - C_3) w\Big), 2w\Big(8\,\varepsilon - 4(\mathrm{i} - 2\,\varepsilon\, B_{21}) w + 4\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22} z^2 \\
& - 2\,\mathrm{i}(A_{22} - C_{13}) zw - \big(\mathrm{i}\, A_{13} - 2\,\varepsilon\, B_{21}^2 - \varepsilon(2 - B_{22} - 2C_3) - \mathrm{i}\, C_4\big) w^2\Big)\Big) / \\
& \Big(16\,\varepsilon - 8(\mathrm{i} - 2\,\varepsilon\, B_{21}) w + 8\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22} z^2 - 4\,\mathrm{i}(A_{22} - C_{13}) zw - 2\big(\mathrm{i}\, A_{13} - 2\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21} - 2\,\varepsilon\, B_{21}^2 \\
& - \varepsilon(3 - B_{22} - 3C_3) - \mathrm{i}\, C_4\big) w^2 - 4\,\varepsilon\, C_{22} z^2 w + 2\,\varepsilon(A_{22} - C_{13}) zw^2 + \big(\varepsilon\, A_{13} + 2\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21}^2 \\
& + \varepsilon\, B_{21}(1 - C_3) + \mathrm{i}(1 - B_{22} - C_3 + \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, C_4)\big) w^3\Big) \\
\widehat{\psi}_2(z,w) =& \Big(32\,\mathrm{i}\, z + 32\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21} zw + 8\,\mathrm{i}\, A_2 w^2 - 16\,\varepsilon\, C_{22} z^3 + 8\big(\varepsilon(A_{22} - C_{13}) + A_2(2 - 3\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22})\big) z^2 w \\
& + 2\big(\varepsilon\, A_{13} + 2\,\varepsilon\, B_{21} + 4\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21}^2 - \mathrm{i}\, B_{22} - \varepsilon\, C_4 + 4\,\mathrm{i}\, A_2(A_{22} - C_{13}) + 6\,\varepsilon\, A_2^2 C_{22}\big) zw^2 \\
& + A_2\big(\mathrm{i}\, A_{13} + 6\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21} + \varepsilon\, B_{22} - \mathrm{i}\, C_4 - 2\,\varepsilon\, A_2(A_{22} - C_{13}) + A_2^2(4 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22})\big) w^3, \\
& 32\,\mathrm{i}\, z^2 + 8\,\mathrm{i}\, A_2^2 w^2 + 32\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, A_2 z^3 - 4\big(\mathrm{i}(A_{13} - 2B_{21} - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, B_{22} - C_4) - 2\,\varepsilon\, A_2(A_{22} - C_{13}) \\
& + 2A_2^2(6 + \mathrm{i}\, C_{22})\big) z^2 w - 4A_2\big(\mathrm{i}\, B_{22} - \varepsilon(A_{13} + 2B_{21} - C_4) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\, A_2(A_{22} - C_{13}) \\
& + 2\,\varepsilon\, A_2^2(3\,\mathrm{i} - C_{22})\big) zw^2 + A_2^2(\mathrm{i}\, A_{13} + 6\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21} + \varepsilon\, B_{22} - \mathrm{i}\, C_4 - 2\,\varepsilon\, A_2(A_{22} - C_{13}) \\
& + A_2^2(4 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22})) w^3, 4w\Big(8\,\mathrm{i} + 4(\varepsilon + 2\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21}) w - 4\,\varepsilon\, C_{22} z^2 + 2\big(\varepsilon(A_{22} - C_{13}) \\
& + A_2(4 - 3\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22})\big) zw + \big(2(\varepsilon + \mathrm{i}\, B_{21}) B_{21} + \mathrm{i}\, A_2(A_{22} - C_{13}) + 2\,\varepsilon\, A_2^2(2\,\mathrm{i} + C_{22})\big) w^2\Big)\Big) \\
& / \Big(32\,\mathrm{i} + 16(\varepsilon + 2\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21}) w - 16\,\varepsilon\, C_{22} z^2 + 8\big(\varepsilon(A_{22} - C_{13}) + A_2(6 - 3\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22})\big) zw \\
& + 2\Big(4\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21}^2 + \mathrm{i}\, B_{22} - \varepsilon\big(A_{13} - 2B_{21} - C_4 - 2A_2^2(6\,\mathrm{i} + C_{22})\big)\Big) w^2 - 8\,\mathrm{i}\, C_{22} z^2 w \\
& + 4\Big(\mathrm{i}(A_{22} - C_{13}) + A_2\big(2B_{21} + \varepsilon(2\,\mathrm{i} + C_{22})\big)\Big) zw^2 + \Big(2\,\mathrm{i}\, B_{21} + A_{13}(\mathrm{i} - \varepsilon\, B_{21}) \\
& + \mathrm{i}\, B_{21} B_{22} - \mathrm{i}\, C_4 - \varepsilon(2B_{21}^2 - B_{22} - B_{21} C_4) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\, A_2 B_{21}(A_{22} - C_{13}) \\
& + 2A_2^2\big(6 - \mathrm{i}\, C_{22} + \varepsilon\, B_{21}(2\,\mathrm{i} - C_{22})\big)\Big) w^3\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix D: Standard Parameters

Here we give the standard parameters needed in the proofs of Theorem 1.5 given in subsection 5.2 and Lemma 6.2. First we list the standard parameters for H_1, the renormalization of $\mathcal{H}_1^\varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_1 := \sqrt{\frac{1 + 6\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 + r_0^4}{1 + 2\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 + r_0^4}}, \quad c_{11}' := \frac{c_1(1 - 4\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 - r_0^4) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, r_0 \lambda_1}{\lambda_1(1 + 6\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 + r_0^4)}, \quad c_{21}' := \frac{2r_0(2c_1 - \mathrm{i}\,\varepsilon\, r_0 \lambda_1)}{\lambda_1(1 + 6\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 + r_0^4)}, \\
&\lambda_1' := (\lambda_1 R_1)^{-1}, \quad a_{11}' := \frac{1 - 4\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 - r_0^4}{R_1(\varepsilon + r_0^2)^2}, \quad a_{21}' := -\frac{4r_0}{R_1(\varepsilon + r_0^2)^2}, \quad \lambda_1 := \frac{1 + 6\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 + r_0^4}{2\sqrt{1 - 2\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 + r_0^4}}, \\
&c_1 := \frac{\mathrm{i}\, r_0 \lambda_1(-1 + 4\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 + r_0^4)}{(\varepsilon - r_0^2)(1 + 6\,\varepsilon\, r_0^2 + r_0^4)}, \qquad u_1 := -1, \qquad u_1' := -1, \qquad r_1' := 0, \qquad r_1 := 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We give the standard parameters for $\widetilde{H}$ for renormalizing $\mathcal{H}_2^-$ in Lemma 6.2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_2 &\coloneqq \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}r_0(e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})}{(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})}\right)^{1/2},\\
S_2 &\coloneqq \frac{(1+\sqrt{2}(e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})r_0+2r_0^2)^2(2(e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})r_0+\sqrt{2}(1+2r_0^2))^2}{(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})^4(1+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)^4(1+\sqrt{2}(e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})r_0)^2}\\
c_{12}' &\coloneqq \big((e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}r_0)(-c_2u_2(1+3r_0^2+2e^{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0^2+2\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0(1+r_0^2)-\mathrm{i}\,v_0)\\
&\qquad +\mathrm{i}\,e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0(1+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)\lambda_2)\big)/\big((1+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)(e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}r_0+\sqrt{2}e^{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)\lambda_2\big)\\
c_{22}' &\coloneqq \big((e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}r_0)(c_2u_2(-r_0(3r_0+2e^{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0+2\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}(1+r_0^2))+\mathrm{i}\,v_0)\\
&\qquad +\mathrm{i}\,e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0(1+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)\lambda_2)\big)/\big((1+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)(e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}r_0+\sqrt{2}e^{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)\lambda_2\big)\\
\lambda_2' &\coloneqq (\lambda_2R_2)^{-1}\\
a_{12}' &\coloneqq \frac{1+3r_0^2+2e^{-2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0^2+2\sqrt{2}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0(1+r_0^2)+\mathrm{i}\,v_0}{u_2u_2'R_2(1+\sqrt{2}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)^2}\\
a_{22}' &\coloneqq -\frac{3r_0^2+2e^{-2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0^2+2\sqrt{2}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0(1+r_0^2)+\mathrm{i}\,v_0}{u_2u_2'R_2(1+\sqrt{2}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)^2}\\
u_2' &\coloneqq \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}(\sqrt{2}r_0+\sqrt{2}e^{-2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0+e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}(1+2r_0^2))(2r_0+2e^{-2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0+\sqrt{2}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}(1+2r_0^2))}{(1+\sqrt{2}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)^4(e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}r_0+\sqrt{2}e^{-2\,\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)S_2u_2^3}\\
u_2 &\coloneqq \frac{2S_2(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})^4(1+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)^4(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)}{(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0+2r_0^2)(\sqrt{2}+2r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+2e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0+2r_0^2)^3}\\
\lambda_2 &\coloneqq \frac{\sqrt{2}S_2(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0})^4(1+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)^4(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0)^2}{(1+\sqrt{2}r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+\sqrt{2}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0+2r_0^2)^2(\sqrt{2}+2r_0e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}+2e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_0}r_0+2r_0^2)^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining parameters c_2, r_2 and r_2' are set to 0.

We give the standard parameters for the map H_3 for the renormalization of $\mathcal{H}_3^-$:

$$
R_3 \coloneqq \sqrt{\frac{-1+r_0^4}{r_0^4}},\qquad c_{13}' \coloneqq \frac{c_3r_0^4}{\lambda_3(1-r_0^4)},\qquad c_{23}' \coloneqq \frac{r_0(\mathrm{i}\,c_3r_0+\lambda_3)}{\lambda_3(1-r_0^4)},\qquad \lambda_3' \coloneqq \Big(\lambda_3R_3\Big)^{-1},
$$

$$
a_{13}' \coloneqq -\mathrm{i}/R_3,\qquad a_{23}' \coloneqq 1/(r_0^2R_3),\qquad c_3 \coloneqq \frac{\mathrm{i}(-1+3r_0^4)}{8r_0^2},\qquad \lambda_3 \coloneqq \frac{-1+r_0^4}{2r_0},\qquad u_3' \coloneqq \mathrm{i},
$$

and the remaining parameters u_3, r_3' and r_3 to be trivial.

If we consider H_4 we renormalize the mapping $\mathcal{H}_4^\varepsilon = (f_{1p_0}, f_{2p_0}, g_{p_0})$. Here we use the following standard parameters, which only cover the case when $g_{p_0w}(0) > 0$. If $g_{p_0w}(0) < 0$ we need to interchange some of the standard parameters given here as described in the proof of Theorem 1.5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_4 &\coloneqq \sqrt{3}\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon+14r_0^4+\varepsilon\,r_0^8}{1+3\,\varepsilon\,r_0^4}},\qquad c_{14}' \coloneqq \frac{4c_4r_0^2u(-1+r_0^4\,\varepsilon)-8\,\mathrm{i}\,r_0^5\,\varepsilon\,\lambda_4}{(14r_0^4+\varepsilon+r_0^8\,\varepsilon)\lambda_4},\\
c_{24}' &\coloneqq \frac{c_4u_4(-1+3r_0^8+14r_0^4\,\varepsilon)-8\,\mathrm{i}\,r_0^3\,\varepsilon\,\lambda_4}{\sqrt{3}(14r_0^4+\varepsilon+r_0^8\,\varepsilon)\lambda_4},\qquad \lambda_4' \coloneqq \Big(\lambda_4R_4\Big)^{-1}\\
a_{14}' &\coloneqq \frac{-12r_0^2(-1+r_0^4\,\varepsilon)}{u_4u_4'R_4(1+3r_0^4\,\varepsilon)^2},\qquad a_{24}' \coloneqq -\sqrt{3}\frac{1-3r_0^8-14r_0^4\,\varepsilon}{u_4u_4'R_4(1+3r_0^4\,\varepsilon)^2}\\
c_4 &\coloneqq \frac{\mathrm{i}\,r_0^3(-7-26r_0^8+9r_0^{16}-36r_0^4\,\varepsilon+60r_0^{12}\,\varepsilon)\lambda_4}{u_4(-19r_0^4-38r_0^{12}+9r_0^{20}-(1+74r_0^8-123r_0^{16})\,\varepsilon)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\lambda_4 \coloneqq \left(4\sqrt{3}r_0\left|\frac{\varepsilon - r_0^4}{1+14\,\varepsilon\,r_0^4+r_0^8}\right|\right)^{-1}, \qquad u_4' \coloneqq \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(r_0^4-\varepsilon)}{u_4^3\operatorname{sgn}(1+r_0^8+14r_0^4\,\varepsilon)}$$
$$u_4 \coloneqq \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(-1-33r_0^4+33r_0^8+r_0^{12})}{\operatorname{sgn}(1-14r_0^4+r_0^8)}\right)-\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)\operatorname{sgn}(-1+34r_0^4-34r_0^{12}+r_0^{16})$$

The remaining parameters r_4 and r_4' are taken to be 0.

References

[Ale74] H. Alexander. Holomorphic mappings from the ball and polydisc. *Math. Ann.*, 209:249–256, 1974.

[Ale77] H. Alexander. Proper holomorphic mappings in $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 26(1):137–146, 1977.

[BER97] M. Salah Baouendi, Peter Ebenfelt, and Linda Preiss Rothschild. Parametrization of local biholomorphisms of real analytic hypersurfaces. *Asian J. Math.*, 1(1):1–16, 1997.

[BER00] M. Salah Baouendi, Peter Ebenfelt, and Linda Preiss Rothschild. Local geometric properties of real submanifolds in complex space. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 37(3):309–336 (electronic), 2000.

[BER07] M. Salah Baouendi, Peter Ebenfelt, and Linda Preiss Rothschild. Transversality of holomorphic mappings between real hypersurfaces in different dimensions. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 15(3):589–611, 2007.

[Car32] Élie Cartan. Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes II. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (2)*, 1(4):333–354, 1932.

[Car33] Élie Cartan. Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 11(1):17–90, 1933.

[CJX06] Zhihua Chen, Shanyu Ji, and Dekang Xu. Rational holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{B}^2$ into $\mathbb{B}^N$ with degree 2. *Sci. China Ser. A*, 49(11):1504–1522, 2006.

[CM74] S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser. Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. *Acta Math.*, 133:219–271, 1974.

[CS83] J. A. Cima and T. J. Suffridge. A reflection principle with applications to proper holomorphic mappings. *Math. Ann.*, 265(4):489–500, 1983.

[CS89] J. A. Cima and T. J. Suffridge. Proper holomorphic mappings from the two-ball to the three-ball. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 311(1):227–239, 1989.

[D'A88] John P. D'Angelo. Proper holomorphic maps between balls of different dimensions. *Michigan Math. J.*, 35(1):83–90, 1988.

[D'A93] John P. D'Angelo. *Several complex variables and the geometry of real hypersurfaces*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993.

[ER06] Peter Ebenfelt and Linda Preiss Rothschild. Transversality of CR mappings. *Amer. J. Math.*, 128(5):1313–1343, 2006.

[ES10] Peter Ebenfelt and Ravi Shroff. Partial Rigidity of CR Embeddings of Real Hypersurfaces into Hyperquadrics with Small Signature Difference. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2010.

[Far82] James J. Faran. Maps from the two-ball to the three-ball. *Invent. Math.*, 68(3):441–475, 1982.

[Far86] James J. Faran. The linearity of proper holomorphic maps between balls in the low codimension case. *J. Differential Geom.*, 24(1):15–17, 1986.

[For89] Franc Forstnerič. Extending proper holomorphic mappings of positive codimension. *Invent. Math.*, 95(1):31–61, 1989.

[HJ01] Xiaojun Huang and Shanyu Ji. Mapping $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{B}^{2n-1}$. *Invent. Math.*, 145(2):219–250, 2001.

[HJX06] Xiaojun Huang, Shanyu Ji, and Dekang Xu. A new gap phenomenon for proper holomorphic mappings from $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{B}^N$. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 13(4):515–529, 2006.

[Hua99] Xiaojun Huang. On a linearity problem for proper holomorphic maps between balls in complex spaces of different dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.*, 51(1):13–33, 1999.

[Hua03] Xiaojun Huang. On a semi-rigidity property for holomorphic maps. *Asian J. Math.*, 7(4):463–492, 2003.

[Ji10] Shanyu Ji. A new proof for Faran's theorem on maps between $\mathbb{B}^2$ and $\mathbb{B}^3$. In *Recent advances in geometric analysis*, volume 11 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 101–127. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010.

[Lam01] Bernhard Lamel. Holomorphic maps of real submanifolds in complex spaces of different dimensions. *Pacific J. Math.*, 201(2):357–387, 2001.

[Leb11a] Jiří Lebl. *Hermitian Forms Meet Several Complex Variables - Minicourse on CR Geometry using Hermitian Forms*. 2011. http://www.jirka.org/scv-mini/scv-mini.pdf, accessed September-2-2014.

[Leb11b] Jiří Lebl. Normal forms, Hermitian operators, and CR maps of spheres and hyperquadrics. *Michigan Math. J.*, 60(3):603–628, 2011.

[Mey06] Francine Meylan. Degree of a holomorphic map between unit balls from $\mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(4):1023–1030 (electronic), 2006.

[Pin74] S. I. Pinčuk. On proper holomorphic mappings of strictly pseudoconvex domains. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 15:644–649, 1974. 10.1007/BF00967440.

[Poi07] M. Henri Poincaré. Les fonctions analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo (1884 - 1940)*, 23:185–220, 1907. 10.1007/BF03013518.

[Rei14] Michael Reiter. Holomorphic mappings of hyperquadrics from $\mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{C}^3$ (phd-thesis). *University of Vienna*, 2014.

[Tan62] Noboru Tanaka. On the pseudo-conformal geometry of hypersurfaces of the space of n complex variables. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 14:397–429, 1962.

[Web79] S. M. Webster. On mapping an n-ball into an $(n+1)$-ball in complex spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 81(1):267–272, 1979.

[Wol08] Wolfram Research. Mathematica 7.0.1.0. Wolfram Research, Inc., Champaign, Illinois, 2008.

Texas A&M University at Qatar, PO Box 23874, Doha, Qatar
michael.reiter@qatar.tamu.edu